

Evaluation of research and professional activity of research-oriented institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for the period 2015–2019

Summary Final Report

Name of the Institute: Institute of Philosophy of the CAS, v. v. i.

Evaluated teams and their leaders:

1. Department of Analytic Philosophy (Petr Kořátko)
2. Department of Contemporary Continental Philosophy (Martin Nitsche)
3. Department of Logic (Vít Punčochář)
4. Department for the Study of Ancient and Medieval Thought (Petr Dvořák)
5. Department of Comenius Studies and Early Modern Intellectual History (Vladimír Urbánek)
6. Department for the Study of Modern Rationality (Jiří Chotaš)
7. Department for the Study of Modern Czech Philosophy (Ivan Landa)
8. Centre for Theoretical Study - The Jan Patočka Archive (Jan Frei)
9. Centre of Global Studies (Marek Hrubec)
10. Centre for Science, Technology, and Society Studies (Jan Balon)
11. Centre for Classical Studies (Petr Kitzler)
12. Centre for Medieval Studies (Pavel Soukup)

Part A: Evaluation of the institute

Note on the approach to evaluation adopted by Commission 12

Commission 12 had to evaluate four institutes belonging to various, not closely related disciplines that in their turn use diverse methodologies and epistemologies. Moreover, the relevant disciplinary cultures are heterogenous, resulting in academic communities that may consist of, e.g., competitive global networks or tightly-knit regional clusters of expertise. Accordingly, the commission could not rely on a single standard set of criteria in its evaluation. If recommendations offered to team Aleph seem in tension with those given to team Bet, this reflects the diversity characterizing many fields in the humanities.

Strengths:

The most outstanding strength of the Institute as a whole is the quality of its research outputs. The Institute has long had a very strong standing in the international research community. The Institute has been successful in obtaining predominantly national funding. Moreover, most of the Teams have been able to attract young scholars and at the same time to provide for a healthy age structure. The members of the Institute have lively contacts with universities and are involved in teaching activities, shaping thus future researchers. As regards publication strategies and didactic projects with universities, the presence of one classical and two historical Teams appears capable of supporting important synergies and leading to significant scientific results.

Weaknesses:

In the period under evaluation, no new ERC project was supported despite the excellence of the submitted projects and the exception of one research unit, based in the Center for Global Studies, of the ERC project “Philosophy and Genre: Creating a Textual Basis for African Philosophy”. In general, the involvement of the entire Institute in EU grants and collective work is rather insufficient. The majority of the Teams does not involve PhD students in the research conducted. Some Teams should take active measures to acquire junior researchers. Despite obvious efforts, many Teams are not successful in improving their gender balance. Several Teams lack clearly defined research priorities or their focus overlaps with other Teams, which indicates the fact that the organizational structure of the whole Institute only partially meets international research standards.

Opportunities:

There are several opportunities for improving the overall quality of the Institute. The Institute should strive to intensify their joint PhD programs with universities, which would lead to more intense involvement of PhD students in research activities, an institutional effort in which the Center for Classical Studies has been very active and successful. A more intense effort might be invested in publishing high quality monographs with leading international publishers and articles in high-ranking international journals. Another opportunity can be the intensification of the relations and interactions between the various institutes of the Academy of Sciences which deal with similar disciplinary areas.

Threats:

The most serious threat to the development of the Institute is its underfunding. It can affect the Institute’s ability to attract foreign scholars and stabilize them as members, as well as to sustain its multiple commitments world-wide. Furthermore, the gender imbalance of several Teams may hamper the Institute’s involvement in international cooperation and projects.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
The Commission has no access to these outputs. The outputs of Phase I of the evaluation were provided only as statistical data which do not allow to consider single outputs.	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached
Most of the workers have fair publication record. However, the Commission observed that there are workers with a very low number of publications in the period under evaluation. Hence, the publication productivity of the Institute is not evenly distributed.	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
Based on bibliometric data, the overall quality of all research output is high. However, these outputs were not available to the Commission in an accessible form.	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
<p>The Commission considers the outputs of the Phase I of the evaluation of a summary nature. Some outputs were classified as “world-leading”, but the Commission possesses neither a list of these outputs, nor their detailed evaluation. However, as the Commission observed, there are several outstanding outputs from the period under evaluation. The Commission would like to highlight a few of them for their representative character:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JANOŮŠEK, Hynek, ZAHAVI, D. Husserl on Hume. <i>British Journal for the History of Philosophy</i>. 2019. • MARVAN, Tomáš and POLÁK, Michal, Neural correlates of consciousness meet the theory of identity, <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i> 9:1269, 2018. • HANKE, Miroslav. Paul of Venice and Realist Developments of Roger Swyneshed's Treatment of Semantic Paradoxes. <i>History and Philosophy of Logic</i>. 2017, 38(4), 299-315. PUNČOCHÁŘ, Vít. A Generalization of Inquisitive Semantics. <i>Journal of Philosophical Logic</i> 45(4), 2016, 399-428. • PEZLAR, Ivo. Non-Constructive Procedural Theory of Propositional Problems and the Equivalence of Solutions, in: I. Sedlar, M. Blichá, eds., <i>The Logica Yearbook 2018</i>, London: College Publications 2019, 197-210. • KARÁSEK, Jindřich. 'Soll man ihm das glauben?' Zu Fichtes Auseinandersetzung mit dem Schulzeschen Skeptizismus in 'Aenesidemus-Recension'. In: D'ALFONSO, V., DE PASCALE, C., FUCHS, E., IVALDO, M., eds. <i>Fichte und seine Zeit: Kontext, Konfrontationen, Rezeptionen</i>. Leiden: Brill, 2016, S. 39-51. <i>Fichte-Studien</i>, 43. • FEINBERG, Joseph Grim. <i>The paradox of authenticity: folklore performance in post communist Slovakia</i>. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 2018. • CHVATÍK, Ivan, UČNÍK, L., eds. <i>Jan Patočka. The Natural World as a Philosophical Problem</i>. Translated by E. Abrams. Evanston: Northwestern University Press, 2016, 221 pp. Northwestern University studies in phenomenology and existential philosophy. • KASANDA, Albert. <i>Contemporary African Social and Political Philosophy: Trends, Debates and Challenges</i>. London: Routledge, 2018. ISBN 978-0-8153-8165-5. <p>For other valuable outputs, see the evaluation of the individual Teams.</p>	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
Most of the institute's work has been done individually. There are a few large collaborations with the Polish Academy of Sciences (Corpus philosophorum medii aevi, Naturalistic approaches to content and consciousness) and with the UNESCO Commission for science, education, and information.	

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
The Institute invests considerable energy in ensuring that its research results have an impact on the public sphere of the Czech Society, through dissemination at events like "Science and Technology Week" or the "European Festival of Philosophy", as well as through direct intervention in the media.	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practise, its usefulness for society. The impact of the institute's activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
There is no direct application of research in philosophy. However, it is obvious that several research projects of the Institute have impact in many practical spheres, such as the system of law, education, medicine and so on.	
H2.3	Relation to practice
See H2.2.	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
The Institute's contribution to AV21 strategy is outstanding.	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
The Commission observed ongoing cooperation with universities outside Prague. There are opportunities for strengthening such cooperation.	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the teams and the institute with similar international and national institutes
On a national scale it is a large Institute which is significantly distinguished by its scientific and organizational activity. The international visibility of the Institute is considerable.	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the institute in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
The Institute as whole as well as the individual Teams are involved in very broad range of national and international cooperation with other similar institutes and universities.	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
The Institute is very active in organizing conferences, workshops and invited lectures. The Institute has hosted top-level events like the 16 th International Congress of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science.	

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
In general, the research directions were followed successfully.	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
The research objectives were achieved and, in many cases, exceeded.	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
The Institute as well as the individual Teams have implemented the recommendations of the last evaluation in 2015/16.	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
The Institute team was successful in the acquisition of grant proposals at the national level. There are opportunities for improvement in the acquisition of international (EU) grants.	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
Not applicable.	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
The management was successful in maintaining and even improving the high quality level of the Institute.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
The Institute copes well in all these categories.	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
Failing to address the gender balance successfully poses one of the main threats for future development.	
D2.9	Relation of the institute with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.
Not applicable	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on national and international level
The scope of cooperation with universities (both national and international) is high. However, the Institute should strive to establish more joint PhD. programmes.	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
All joint research centres are very effective.	

D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
The success rate is very high. However, the Commission had only statistical data available.	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
The participation of PhD students in the scientific outputs of the Institute is very low.	
D3.5	Participation of the institute in master or bachelor studies
Individual workers have supervised BA and MA theses. However, these activities are not widespread and at times done within employments at universities.	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
The cooperation in form of teaching has been fairly intense, but not over-intense. This is in accordance with the commission's earlier recommendation not to burden oneself with teaching activities to an extent that stands in the way of creative research.	

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
In general, the quantity as well as the quality of the outreach activities of the Institute are very good.	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
The Institute runs the publishing houses <i>Filosofia</i> and <i>OIKOYMENH</i> , the most important national publishing houses in philosophy. The Institute also publishes 9 scholarly journals. Assessment of individual publications was not possible.	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
There is a great variety of activities of the scientific community in which the Institute members participate. They have been members of a sizeable number of committees, societies, editorial boards etc.	

Other comments of the commission:

The Commission, in agreement with the Coordination Board's discounting the Director's objection concerning the 'direct link between the categorization of teams and financial implications of the evaluation' as not justified, abstains from revising its assessment of single teams as deserving strengthened support, emphasizes the difficulty of categorizing the Teams of the Institute of Philosophy, and expresses the wish that the Institute of Philosophy as a whole could be granted increased financial support.

The present Reassessed Summary Report for the Evaluation of the Institute of Philosophy has been drafted in cooperation with Commissions 10 and 11.

Part B: Evaluation of teams

1. Department of Analytic Philosophy

Introduction

The Department delivers research in very wide and differentiated areas of analytic philosophy, such as: epistemology, the philosophy of science, language and mind, the analytic metaphysics, ethics, aesthetics and history of philosophy. Research in the philosophy of science, epistemology and philosophy of mind is the most exposed and brings together the biggest number of scholars. Team research is realized under four interdisciplinary programmes financed by grants and supported by long-term international cooperation. The Department is known and internationally recognized for its activity in organizing significant philosophical enterprises (conferences, workshops, visiting lectures), many of which are part of the permanent international calendar of philosophical events. Internationalization and strengthening the scientific position are included in the strategy of the Department. Over the course of 5 years, the Commission was able to see and verify how the recommendations from the previous evaluation have been implemented, and what significant changes have been made in the employment structure and the research focus.

1. Strengths and opportunities

1.1 The strength of the Team is interdisciplinary research and international cooperation.

(a) There are four such projects: Philosophical and methodological problems of contemporary science, Varieties of interpretation and theory of fiction, Contemporary theory of consciousness, and Formal epistemology. The issues undertaken in these projects are important and topical, and as such provide a platform for discussions in a wider context of research, which can be seen in such regular events as: *Ernst Mach Workshops, Prague Interpretation Colloquia, Atelier linguistique de Prague*.

(b) The Department strictly cooperates with foreign academic partners as for example École Normale Supérieure – CNRS, Paris, Polish Academy of Sciences, Slovak Academy of Sciences.

(c) The Department is a member of the Philosophy of Language and Mind Network.

(d) Several team members are active at the international level: as members of prestigious European philosophical societies, editorial boards, editors or authors of works which are published in international publishing houses.

1.2 The Team has been enriched by young researchers from the programmes of PhD students or post-docs, mainly as the result of involving the staff members in their cooperation with Charles University. In turn, the Premium Academiae Grant, led by prof. Ladislav Kvasz allowed to employ 5 post-doc foreign researchers.

And thanks to the grants obtained after the period of evaluation and extended cooperation with foreign universities further employment opportunities for post-docs are already seen.

2. Weakness and Threats

2.1 In spite of significant interdisciplinary projects and new fields of research (as formal epistemology for instance), the list of presented publications of staff members shows more their individual than collective work. It is not an objection, but rather an expression of some doubt, as to whether the researchers form a team and focus more on issues that would define the specificity of the Department and ensure its development. As the documents provided to the Commission demonstrate, the managing body of the Department recognizes

the threats resulting from such situations and is working to deal with them before the next evaluation takes place.

2.2 However, a serious threat to the development of the Department is its underfunding.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
<p>Selected outputs of the Team No1 are internationally excellent, and some of them are categorized as “world-leading”. The articles are published in internationally first-rate journals (Frontiers in Psychology, Synthese, Consciousness and Cognition, Philosophy of the Social Sciences, Foundations of Science, Foundations of Physics, Studia Logica, History and Philosophy of Logic, Philosophia Mathematica).</p>	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached
<p>All Team members are scientifically active and contribute to the increase of publications including both international and national publications. Some of their writings appeared in journal with IF and in known international publishing houses (Springer, Elsevier, Oxford Academy Press), others in less prestigious international journals or publishing houses or have only national impact.</p>	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
<p>The Commission does not have full knowledge about the quality of all outputs and results during the evaluation period, i.e.: 13 articles published in journals with IF, 46 articles in other journals, 8 professional books, 24 chapters and 6 contributions to proceedings. However, on the basis of materials provided (included the bibliometric data), the Commission’s assessment is highly positive.</p>	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
<p>In the multidimensional and very diverse research of the Team, it is difficult to distinguish the most valuable issues, and in humanities it is also difficult to say about discoveries in a strict sense of this term. Nevertheless, the Commission recognizes the valuable research presented by the following researchers among others: Tomáš Marvan and Michal Polák in the theory of phenomenal consciousness (the results of their research were published in <i>Frontiers in Psychology</i>), Ladislav Kvasz’ and his cooperators research in formal epistemology (included Kvasz’ paper in <i>Philosophia Mathematica</i>) and in the philosophy of science, Zuzana Parusniková in her research on epistemology and the history of philosophy of science (especially her research on David Hume’s philosophy presented in her monography <i>David Hume, Sceptic</i>, Springer 2016), Petr Kořátko (who is the best known outside from his research on fictitious discourse and the theory of interpretation) and his cooperators (Juraj Hvorecký, Vít Gvoždiak, Tomáš Koblížek among others) in their research in the philosophy of language.</p>	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
<p>1. Tomáš Hříbek, Juraj Hvorecký, Tomáš Marvan take part in the bilateral project with the Polish Academy of Sciences on <i>Naturalistic approaches to content and consciousness</i> (2017-2019). The cooperation has been renewed for further three years within the project on <i>Mechanisms in Life and Cognitive Sciences</i>.</p> <p>2. Petr Kořátko and Juraj Hvorecký are partners in the international consortium, led by Alberto Votolini (Turin University) on the research on fiction and fictionality.</p>	

3. Tomáš Koblížek cooperates with researchers from École Normale Supérieure – CNRS Paris (where in 2017 he was an associated researcher of the Linguistic Research Group). He initiated common workshops, so-called *Atelier linguistic de Prague* (2016, 2017, 2018) and guest lectures of French philosophers and literary theoretics in Prague Interpretations Colloguia, which since 2000 are significant international events. Koblížek also gave lectures in France.
4. Ladislav Kvasz is strongly engaged in international collaborations in the field of science and technology, epistemology, science and religion, mathematics and mathematical didactic (the resent in cooperation with German Society for Mathematical Didactic).
5. Zuzana Parusniková collaborates with Oxford University and initiated an organizing a special Hume Forum *Bridge Oxford-Prague*.

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
<p>The Department is strongly involved in scientific actions for the local society, e.g. by organizing open public lectures and different form of popularization philosophy by media.</p> <p>Special societal relevance had two projects realized by the Team: <i>Freedom and Responsibility and their Consequences for Society and Contemporary Ethics</i> (the result has been published under the same title), <i>Forms and Functions of Communications</i> (by Petr Kotatko with cooperators).</p>	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practice, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team´s activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
<p>The project <i>Forms and Functions of Communications</i> has application in understanding different forms of communications in current pluralistic society, the cultural and social changes in communication, as well as its psychological functions in our social and individual life. The project on <i>Freedom and Responsibility</i> has impact in many practical spheres, such as the system of law, education, medicine and so on.</p>	
H2.3	Relation to practice
<p>The research on communication is conducted in strict relation to practice.</p>	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
<p>The Team has significant contribution to AV21 strategy on <i>Effective Public Policies and Contemporary Society</i> (2014–2020), in which the Team realized the project <i>Freedom and Responsibility and their Consequences for Society and Contemporary Ethics</i> and <i>Forms and Functions of Communication</i> (as has been already shown in H2.1). But also other research actions are conducted within AV21 strategy.</p>	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
<p>It is scientific cooperation with universities.</p>	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
-------------	--

<p>Team No 1 is a leading national team. The comparison with international teams is more difficult with regard to the kind of criteria. The comparison is also difficult due to economic conditions. Nevertheless, taking into account similar conditions, the team represents the international standard of research quality.</p>	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
<p>The Team members are very active within international networks, bilateral and more international cooperation, as well as in national cooperation. See more: 1 and H1.4, H1.5.</p>	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
<p>The Team of the Department is extremely active in organizing conferences, workshops, invited lectures (open monthly public lectures are continued).</p> <p>The Team together with the twin Department from the Slovak Academy of Sciences organizes regular Symposia on analytic philosophy.</p> <p>The great success of the Department was participation in organizing the 16th International Congress of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science (August 5–10, 2019), which is organized every four years under the auspicious of the International Union of History and Philosophy of Science and Technology. Tomáš Marvan was the head of the Local Organizing Committee, and Ladislav Kvasz was (and still is) the Vice-President of the Czech National Committee for Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science.</p> <p>Ladislav Kvasz is also a member of the Steering Committee of the European Society for Analytic Philosophy.</p> <p>He is the Laureate of the prestigious Fernando Gil International Prize in Philosophy of Science.</p>	

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
<p>Two main lines of the research field in analytic philosophy have been presented: the philosophy of language and mind, and the philosophy of science.</p>	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
<p>Research objectives were achieved and, in many cases, exceeded.</p>	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
<p>The Team has implemented all the recommendations from the previous evaluation (even if it has not always been successful, as it was in the case of the EU grants - nevertheless - it has undertaken all possible efforts, and the present assessment can help in achieving success in the nearest future).</p>	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
<p>The Team members were very successful in receiving national grants. Their applications for more prestigious external international grants were without success.</p>	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
<p>Has no use.</p>	

D2.6	Effectiveness of management
The management of the Team is effective. However, the focus of the Team members covers many topics which do not allow for strict cooperation within the Department. The Team management has already considered proposing research priorities in its plan for 2020–2024.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
The age and qualification structure of the Team is very good.	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
Not applicable.	
D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.
It is not known to the Commission.	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on the national and international level
There is excellent cooperation with universities on the national level (Charles University, West Bohemia University, Masaryk University in Brno, Palasky University in Olomouc, Czech Technical University, Academy of Art, Architecture and Design, Prague, Academy of Fine Arts) and cooperation with universities abroad (Oxford University, Bologna University, Jagiellonian University, University of Stockholm, Valencia University, Kansas State University).	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
The Centre for Formal Epistemology (founded in 2017) realizes international long-term project and its effectiveness is seen both theoretically in publications and practically in employment young researchers.	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
50%	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
Martin Zach was a secretary of the organizing Committee of the Congress of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science and Technology, and he represented the Department in numerous scientific conferences.	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
8 supervision of MA theses and 4 bachelor's theses.	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching

The cooperation with universities is intense in terms of teaching, and the Commission appreciates that. Nevertheless, intensive involvement in teaching at the BA level is not particularly advisable.

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
<p>The quantity and quality of the Team's outreach activities is excellent. The Team members are active in organizing open lectures, they are present in the media (radio, TV, and publish their recording on you tube).</p>	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
<p>Publishing activities of the Team is highly satisfactory.</p>	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
<p>Several Team members belong to the prestigious European organizations or scientific societies. For example, L. Kvasz is a member of the following societies among others: International Society for Science and Religion, European Society for the History of Science, European Philosophy of Science Association, Steering Committee of the European Society for Analytic Philosophy, GesellschaftGesellschaft für die Didaktik der Mathematik, T. Marvan is a member of the Philosophy of Language and Mind Network. V. Gvoždiak is a member of International Association for Semiotic Studies. Individual Team members also hold important positions in the CAS and external councils. For example, P. Kotatko is a member of the Committee of the Czech Academy of Sciences for Awarding Doctor Scientiarum Degree in the Field of Philosophy as well as in the Field of Literary Theory, Z. Parusnikova is a member of the Council for Awarding Scientific Degrees in the CAS, T. Marvan is a member of the Council for International Relations of the Czech Academy of Sciences.</p>	

Other comments of the commission:

Recommendation

1. In the previous evaluation the Commission emphasized “scientific potential of the team for strengthening its presence on the market of publications of the highest quality and for intensifying its participation in international projects, also those awarded from the ERC.” In spite of visible achievements of the Team in its international publication outputs and international cooperation, the Commission repeats this recommendation.
2. The Commission suggests considering further specification of research subject which does not have to cover all areas of analytic philosophy.

2. Department of Contemporary Continental Philosophy

Strengths:

1. excellence of outputs (published in renowned journals and publishing houses);
2. originality of the research carried out both in the field of history of phenomenology (namely in Brentanian tradition) and in the field of new researches in phenomenology; 3. capacity to attract young scholars from abroad; 4. excellent quality of the organized workshops and conferences; 5. excellence of international cooperation; 6. high quality of outreach activities.

Weaknesses:

1. Unsuccessful in ERC applications despite the excellence of the submitted projects; 2. low number of scholars in comparison to the high number of activities; 3. long term employment prospects of young scholars.

Opportunities:

1. If the department keeps the high level of research, it can become a European reference point in the field of Continental Contemporary Philosophy; 2. the Department could promote collaborations with high research centres in order to create a strong network for research in phenomenology fields; 3. the Department could promote both the importance and the usefulness of Philosophy in relevant societal fields.

Threats:

1. The low attractiveness of European grants could penalize both research and recruitment of young scholars, which could force to reduce also the quantity of research fields; 2. if the department does not increase the number of its scholars, it risks not being able to carry out the numerous researches and projects it is conducting.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
	<p>The quality of Selected Outputs of Phase I is excellent. These outputs are: 6 books, 9 chapters in books, 9 articles in international peer reviewed journals. The complete list of outputs evaluated in Phase I is provided among the uploaded Documents.</p> <p>The books are published in the most renowned publishing houses in the field of Contemporary Continental Philosophy: Springer, Lexington Books, Hermann, Königshausen & Neumann, Millon, Brill. They are published in English, German, and French and each book is published in series with an international editorial board. In order to guarantee the excellence of publications, these publishing houses submit the manuscripts to peer reviewers. Chapters in the books are published in books edited by most renowned experts in the addressed fields (Speer, Cohen-Levinas, Schnell, Kriegel, Centrone, Kuhn, Barnett, Nielsen, Sepp) and in excellent publishing houses (Herder, Brill, Routledge, Springer, Camden House, Karl Albert, Königshausen & Neumann, Vrin).</p> <p>Articles are published both in peer reviewed international journals and in excellent journals linked to renowned research institutions as “Maison de Science de l’Homme” (Paris) and University of Wuppertal - Association Internationale de Phénoménologie.</p> <p>The publication of the outputs in the most important publishing houses of the Continental Philosophy, the collaboration with the most important researchers in the topics addressed,</p>

the publication of articles in the most influential journals of continental philosophy, the high relevance of the topics addressed attest the excellent quality of the outputs selected.	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached
<p>The members of the Team are 22 (+ two former researchers). During the period under evaluation (2015-2019), the members of the Team published 5 articles in journals with impact factor, 97 articles in other journals, 16 professional books, 51 chapters in professional books, 8 Contributions to proceedings. Among the documents provided for this evaluation, the team uploaded the complete list of outputs (see 3-6 List_of_all_scientific_outputs.pdf). This list shows that all the members of the team have contributed to carry out the research by publishing articles and books and by organizing workshops and conferences. As it usually happens with philosophy outputs, the workers contributed mostly as authors of the whole book, article or proceeding and only in few cases as co-authors. In this last case, the collaboration was carried out with excellent scholars in the field.</p>	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
<p>The main feature of these outputs is that they are aimed at both the Czech scientific community and the international one. The complete list of activities certifies that the production is absolutely impressive. In addition to the outputs already evaluated in Phase I, the team has concluded a very large number of other publications all with ISBN and ISSN codes. These codes (present in the document 3-6 List_of_all_scientific_outputs.pdf) allow easily to check the value of each journal and books. The outputs are in Czech and in English, French and German. At first, the high quality of outputs is testified by the editorial collocation of the articles, books, and edited collections. The outputs are published in philosophical journals indexed in the main database for Human Studies. These journals are the “point of reference” for the researches in Continental Philosophy. The Czech journals in which the team publishes are also peer reviewed, which ensures the scientific quality of their outputs. The articles published in these journals are both in Czech and in French, English and German, which allows the dissemination of the results among a broader philosophical community. All the books are published in the most renowned publishing houses in the field of Continental Philosophy: Springer, Lexington Books, Hermann, Königshausen & Neumann, Millon, Brill, Vrin. In order to guarantee the excellence of publications, these publishing houses submit the manuscripts to peer reviewers that accept the manuscript only if they can testify its high value. Moreover, in addition to the outputs selected in the Phase I, the excellent editorial collocation of these books and articles attest the quality of the research of the whole team. As we mentioned above, books are published by most prestigious publisher houses, and articles are published in some prestigious journals like <i>British Journal for the History of Philosophy</i>, <i>Filosofia</i>, <i>Journal of Consciousness Studies</i>, <i>Open Theology L' Homme et la Société</i>, <i>Horizon. Studies in Phenomenology</i>, <i>Humana Mente: journal of philosophical studies</i>. However, the editorial criteria are not the only guarantee of quality, as this quality is also testified by the contents. In order to discuss this side of the issue, in the case of Continental Philosophy we cannot separate the quality of contents from the discoveries they have made in their fields that we will deal with in the next point H1.4.</p>	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
<p>Given the high number of outputs (about 250 publications and 30 workshops), we propose to limit the evaluation to the main outputs of each cluster in which the members of the team are organized: Cluster 1: a-Classical and b-Contemporary Phenomenology; Cluster 2: Philosophy of Sociality and Performativity; Cluster 3: Philosophy in the Public Space.</p> <p>Cluster 1: the researches in this cluster are devoted both to the roots of phenomenology, especially a- the school of Brentano, and 2- the contemporary phenomenology. The</p>	

members of this cluster obtained three grants from Czech Science Foundation (*From Logical Objectivism to Reism: Bolzano and the School of Brentano, Life and Environment: Phenomenological Relations between Subjectivity and the Natural World, Methodological Precedence of Intertwining: Theory and Application*).

a- The most valuable discoveries and findings in this topic, is the historical research on the roots of Husserlian phenomenology, for instance by pointing out the role of phenomenology in the History of philosophy. This is the purpose of Janousek and Zahavi's paper *Husserl on Hume*, and of the researches carried out by scholars Rollinger, Janoušek, and Ierna. These researches help to place Husserl's early works in this preceding context in order to be fully understood and correctly assessed. This "coming back" to the roots of Phenomenology allows the phenomenological researches to better understand the stake of this philosophical path.

b- **Researches in Contemporary Phenomenology.** This group deal with the phenomenological research "on the field" and the researchers reached excellent results. The team led by Novotny (with Fulka and Puc) reached original results in the research about the living body/Leib and the world. Especially in the recent book published in 2020, *Leib and Welt. Zu einigen Grundmotiven der Phänomenologie*, Novotny is suggesting a new way to understand the subjective-bodily movement in life. This research, with Geoffrey Diercxsens's research about Ethics and Embodied Action, is contributing to rethink in an original way the living body, the world and the environment too. This renewal of phenomenology is carrying out also by Martin Nitsche's teams in a different double original way: on the one hand, this team (Nitsche, Pokorny, Koubova), especially Nitsche, is thinking a really new phenomenological motif, that is the *intertwining*. The originality of this research and its contribution to the phenomenological field is at first the idea that phenomenology can concern many disciplines, that is philosophy, psychology, environmental studies, political science, geography, aesthetics. Secondly, this research makes a new breakthrough in the employment of new methods used in theoretical geography (for example, by Edward Soja). On the other hand, this team is carrying out an original research about the religious phenomenon, or better: about the phenomenology of religious experience and the transformation of this phenomenon.

Cluster 2. Philosophy of Sociality and Performativity

The members of the team involved in this research have carried out both some researches in A) social and political theory, and B) performance philosophy.

Concerning the **point A)**, the most valuable discoveries and findings in this topic is a new and original interpretation of Marx's ethics. In *None so Fit to Break the Chains*, Swain interprets Marx's ethics showing that foregrounds his commitment to working-class self-emancipation and argues for the continued relevance of this principle for contemporary politics. Bierhanzl carried out a research intertwining the concepts of exclusion and biopolitics in Foucault, the concept of guilt originating in Heidegger and the feminist reading of Levinas. The third original discovery in this field is the link between French philosophers Deleuze, Guattari, and phenomenology.

Regarding **point B) performance and philosophy**, the goal of this research team is rethinking the constitution of subjectivity by reinterpreting the post-structuralist theory of performativity through the 'ludic stance'. Koubová, Urban, and Fulka, published many books, articles and chapter in book questioning the "performative turn in philosophy", examining its principles and consequences. Especially Koubová is questioning how philosophy is able "to transform" at first the philosophical gesture. Original perspectives in Ethics (as Ethics of Care) and Politics are proposed by Urban that reflects on theoretical developments in the political theory of care and new applications of care ethics in different contexts.

Cluster 3: Philosophy in the Public Space

<p>This fourth cluster involves several researchers performed by the team. The aim of this cluster is spreading philosophy in society. These activities are both publications and public conferences (<i>Ethics in Public and Civil Service: Lessons from Central and Eastern European Countries</i>. Prague, September 23, 2019, and <i>Integrity and Ethical Culture in Public Institutions</i>, Prague, 11-12 October 2018).</p>	
H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
<p>The Team researches match the mission of CAS, which is “to conduct research in a broad spectrum of the natural, technical and social sciences and the humanities. This research, whether highly specialized or interdisciplinary in nature, aims to advance developments in scientific knowledge at the international level, while also taking into account the specific needs of both the Czech society and the national culture”. This match is carried out by the Team in a double direction, as 1- it contributes to increase the prestige of the CAS in the field of continental philosophy, and 2- it is carrying out projects whose purpose is the growth of Czech society. 1- Regarding the increase of the prestige of CAS in the in the field of continental philosophy, it is certified both by the high cultural value of the outputs (see above H1.1-H1.4), and by the fact that excellent researchers from abroad apply to work with the team at CAS. The team, in fact, is actually an international research community. This contributes to the international prestige of the CAS by attracting young people from different scientific communities to carry on their researches at CAS. 2- Even if the outputs of the Team in Contemporary Continental Philosophy are mainly aimed at an audience of experts in the national and international philosophical field, thanks to the project “Resilient Society For 21st Century. Crisis Potentials and Effective Transformation”, led by Alice Koubová, the team is carrying out a project of high social relevance. More information will be provided in H2.4.</p>	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practise, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team’s activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
<p>Since 2018, the team has developed a project “Tools for Developing an Ethical Culture in Czech Civil Service” whose main goals are 1- A ground-breaking application of care ethics in the domain of public and administrative ethics and 2- It proposes a new ethical codex for the state administration (at first ministry of transportation).</p>	
H2.3	Relation to practice
<p>Any outputs are mentioned in the document “Research for practice”. However, the plans announced for 2020/2024, especially the Bioethics laboratory, state changes in this direction.</p>	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
<p>The activities of Cluster 4: Philosophy in the Public Space include an applied research project <i>Tools for Developing an Ethical Culture in Czech Civil Service</i> (2018-2021) funded by the Technology Agency of the Czech Republic and led by Petr Urban (team members: Alice Koubová, Juraj Hvorecký). Through this pilot project, which is conducted in the environment of the Czech Ministry of Transport, the Institute of Philosophy entered an untouched domain of Czech civil service ethics where it aims to provide expertise to Czech government institutions and serve as a catalyst of a public debate on the urgent issue of public and administrative ethics. Alice Koubová and other members of the department are engaged in a number of public-oriented activities in the domain of the arts and cultural institutions. These activities, supported by the Czech Academy’s program Strategy AV21, have gained public interest and resulted into a long-term lively cooperation between the Institute of Philosophy and institutions such as the National Theatre or the Academy of</p>	

<p>Fine Arts in Prague. During the presentation of the institute, we knew that a new project is already running on, always with the support of the program Strategy AV21.</p> <p>The project is: Resilient Society For 21st Century. Crisis Potentials and Effective Transformation (Main coordinator: Alice Koubová). This project has a high societal relevance for the following reasons: a- it is focused on assumptions, sources and tools of social resilience to various types of crises, especially those that our society faces or may face in the 21st century; b- it is investigating relationships and processes that strengthen society in its ability to deal with crisis and unexpected or threatening situations; c- it is actively building thematically focused platforms that offer opportunities for collaboration between scientists from different disciplines, scientists and the public, scientists and artists, scientists and public institutions, and between the centre and the regions. All its Research axes are very relevant for Society.</p>	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
<p>The team collaborates with institutions such as the National Theatre or the Academy of Fine Arts in Prague. It collaborates with Charles University in Prague as far as teaching is concerned.</p>	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
<p>Compared with similar international groups (“Archives Husserl, Paris ENS, Laboratoires de Phénoménologie et Herméneutique [réseau francophone “Hermi”]: Universities of Lille, Lyon 3, Paris Institute Catholique, Bruxelles Université Libre – Dijon] Research Teams in Philosophy and Phenomenology of Religion - McGill University), the Team is active and productive on the average (Archives Husserl) and beyond the average (compared to other institutes).</p>	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
<p>On p. 23 the “Participation of team members in activities of scientific community” is carefully described. The members of the team collaborate also with some International Associations. Martin Nitsche is Vice-President of the Society for Phenomenology of Religious Experience (SOPHERE, international, based in Berkeley, USA). He acts as “Pacific Division Representative” for SOPHERE’s affiliation with the American Philosophical Association. Alice Koubová was elected Core Convener of the international Performance Philosophy network. Koubová and Urban have cooperated with Philosophy at Play initiative, led by Wendy Russell and Malcolm Maclean from University of Gloucestershire. Koubová and Urban participated in Philosophy at Play conferences, the publications for Routledge series on Philosophy at Play and co-organization of the Philosophy at Play conferences. Geoffrey Dierckxsens, as a member of the Society for Ricoeur Philosophy, co-organized conferences of the Society and participated in directing edited collections based on the conferences. Josef Fulka and Jan Bierhanzl co-organised the annual Summer Schools of French Philosophy in cooperation with colleagues from France, Poland and Slovakia. Josef Fulka co-organised several Lacanian Workshops in Prague in collaboration with the New Lacanian School in France.</p>	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)

The workers are deeply involved in community activities. They organized many conferences and workshops, lectures and they received awards. The members of the team provided a complete list of conferences and workshops they attended and organized (**more than 70 meeting**). Members of the team received award (**8 award**). The documents that the team provided, attest that these conferences and workshops attracted to Prague the most important scholars in the field.

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
<p>The team matches perfectly the planned goals of the research directions provided by the Institute of Philosophy (=IP). The focus 1. of the Institute's research is "Philosophy and Ethics". The team researches in Contemporary Continental Philosophy contributes to the research directions of the Institute with the excellent outputs of its members. Thanks to the team, IP maintains academic excellence in the fields: Phenomenology (phenomenological methodology; phenomenology of religious experience; current significance of the school of Brentano; investigations of embodied subjectivity and imagination); Social and political theory (social change; social visibility and invisibility; theory of play and performance philosophy; current developments of post-Marxism); Ethics (care ethics; civil service). Besides the primary research, the team also performs applied research in administrative ethics and bioethics.</p>	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
<p>The activity plan of the team for the period of 2015-2019 was very rich. The main fields of research objectives were 1) Life, Subjectivity and the World; 2) Central-European Roots of Phenomenology; 3) Beyond the Phenomenology of Sociality; 4) Performance and Saturated Experience in Philosophy; 5) Methodological Precedence of Intertwining; 6) Transitive Theory of Postmodernism. The team planned to publish over twenty research monographs and edited collections in 2015–2019 that was successfully achieved by the end of 2019 (with several volumes being in print in the first half of 2020). This number is higher than in 2010– 2014. Among the research objectives there were also the organization of international conferences and outreach activities. All information is provided in the documents uploaded.</p>	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
<p>The previous evaluation recommended: 1. to intensify international cooperation and improve the visibility in the international philosophical scene; 2. to prioritize the quality of scientific results over mere quantity; 3. to reconsider the amount of undergraduate teaching; 4. to intensify the collaboration between the departments of the Institute; 5. to promote gender equality; 6. to focus on creating a sound strategy for the future development of the department by concentrating on selected areas that are especially fruitful (such as the Brentanian tradition); 7. to shift the proportions between publishing in Czech and publishing in English and other languages. As we read in the Report on the research activity of the team, pp. 16-17, the Team did the greatest effort to answer to these recommendations and the high value of its outputs attests it. In conclusion, the Team strongly and seriously took into account the recommendations of the past evaluation and this allowed it to improve its research as we explained in H1.1-H 1.5.</p>	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
<p>The team received the following grants: <i>From Logical Objectivism to Reism: Bolzano and the School of Brentano (2015-2017)</i> – supported by Czech Science Foundation; <i>Life and</i></p>	

<p><i>Environment: Phenomenological Relations between Subjectivity and the Natural World – supported by Czech Science Foundation; Methodological Precedence of Intertwining: Theory and Application (2014-2016) – supported by Czech Science Foundation; Beyond the Phenomenology of Sociality (2016- 2018), – supported by Czech Science Foundation; Towards a New Ontology of Social Cohesion (2019-2021) – supported by Czech Science Foundation; Performance in Philosophy: Contexts, Methods, Implications (2016-2018) – supported by Czech Science Foundation; Interdisciplinary Research Lab for Bioethics - Supported by Czech Academy of Sciences Excellence Programme “Lumina Queruntur” (2020-24).</i></p>	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
Not applicable	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
<p>a-The considerable commitment to research, b- the results obtained, c- the balance between the different clusters of the team, prove the effectiveness of the management. On p. 13 of the Report of the activities, we can find a description of the “Basic Principles of Department’s Personal Development”. In addition to it, the Report explains the criteria for the “Personal Growth”. Among these criteria a great attention is devoted to the “personal growth of its members, both in the academic and non-academic sense”. The increase in the members of the team and its attractiveness of young scholars from abroad prove the high effectiveness of the management of the team. The management encourages, supports and involves the members in every decision regarding the strategy of research. At the same time, each member also works independently in order to develop her or his own work. In addition to it, the Head of the department 1- strives to secure a competitive salary for the core members and 2- Czech and international members are treated equally. During the visit in March, the leader and the deputy of the team showed to the commitment board the numerous and various initiatives that they organized in order to guarantee a good work environment.</p>	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
<p>Until 2019, the age of the members of the team was 25 to 60. The higher number of people were in the age category 35-40 years old (7 people); 1 age category 25-30; 2 age category 30-35; 1 age category 35-40; 2 age category 45-50; 1 age category 55-60; 1 age category 60-65. In order to attract the best scientists, the team (in agreement with the Institute of Philosophy) is committed to ensuring that recruitment and selection procedures are fair and transparent. The Institute does not stipulate any specific requirements regarding the nationality or country of residence of the applicants. The selection process must always be conducted in line with the principles of merit, transparency, openness, equal opportunity and non-discrimination. The Team ensures an excellent environment to work with the strategy described in D2.6. The management guarantees every support to the members, both in order to apply in national and international projects and in order to carry out researches. Given that the main group is middle aged (35-40), the team will propose an effort to involve young PhD students and prepare a new generation of the department. The recruitment process is performed also through external funding applications. The evaluation of the Department Members ensures the growth of the quality of the research. On p. 14 of the “Information on the activity of the Institute of Philosophy”, the qualification growth stands are clearly described.</p>	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues

<p>On p. 13 of the Report of the Team, in the paragraph “Personal Growth” we read: “Home office is largely enabled to ensure the best ratio of philosophical research with private life - mainly to support scholars with kids, and those who live outside of Prague”. It means that the team pays great attention to the work-life balance. This point was discussed during the visit. The Head of the Team M. Nitsche told the commitment that they organized many initiatives to spend time together outside of the work environment.</p>	
D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Program of Sustainability II.
<p>Not applicable</p>	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on national and international level
<p>The Department cooperates with 14 European and extra-European Universities and Centres of research: University of Copenhagen, Centre for Subjectivity Research; University of California Los Angeles; University of Brighton; University of Humanistic Studies in Utrecht; University of Antwerp; Penn State University; University of Princeton; Theatre Academy Malmö; University of Helsinki; SUNY Cortland; Kingston University; Tel Aviv University; IKKM Weimar; University of Wuppertal.</p>	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
<p>Even if the “involvement in joint research centres with universities” is not applicable, the members of department cooperate with many international institutes in order to apply for international projects (see Document provided by the Institute).</p>	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
<p>During the period 2015-2019 12 PHD Theses were defended; 8 these were supervised and for 2 theses the members of the teams were appointed as consultants.</p>	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
<p>In the evaluated period (2015–2019), there were three PhD students directly involved in projects funded by the Czech Science Foundation: Vít Pokorný, Jan Puc, and Jakub Ort. Under the supervision of the department’s core members, all three students published 12 outcomes in total (incl. 2 books).</p>	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
<p>The Team is involved in Pedagogical activity at Charles University, Faculty of Humanities, Prague; Purkyně University, Faculty of Philosophy, Ústí nad Labem; Theatre Academy, AMU, Prague; University of Hradec Kralové, Faculty of Arts. The number of semestrial lectures, seminar and courses in 2015-2019 was: 8 in Bachelor and 42 in Master.</p>	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
<p>The cooperation with universities in the form of teaching is really intensive both in quantity and in quality. About quantity see D3.5. Regarding the quality, the teaching of the members</p>	

of Department is about their research field (Phenomenology, Moral and Political Philosophy, Philosophy and Semiotics of Gesture, etc.), which confirms the relevance of the research they are carrying out. They perform their teaching both in public and in renowned Universities and academic Institutions.

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
<p>In order to popularize their research, in the period 2015-2019 the members of the team both held public lectures and appeared on the Media. A list of these outreach activities is provided in the Report, pp. 29-31. These activities are 1- lectures held in Festivals and public events (Night of Scientists, European Festival of Philosophy, Week of Science and Technology) ; 2- Lectures given on the radio or television.</p>	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
<p>9 of the members of the Team are serving as Editorial Board Member of renowned philosophical journals and publishing houses. On pp. 23-24 of the Report we can find any information. The quality of these publishing activities is high as the quality of the journal attests.</p>	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
<p>Not applicable</p>	

3. Department of Logic

Strengths:

The department has long had a very strong standing in the international research community. It has succeeded to maintain this standing and at the same time to provide for a healthy age structure. The department members publish regularly in very good and top international journals and publishing houses. Within the field of (philosophical) logic and the philosophy of logic and mathematics, but also within the philosophy of language, the coverage of topics is broad. There are lively connections with many Czech and international research groups, and the series of *Logica* conferences in Hejnice is world-famous.

Weaknesses:

There are currently no PhD students involved in the research conducted at the department. The department should take active measures to acquire new PhD students. It should also strive to improve its gender balance; only 0.6 out of about 7 scientific FTE are held by a female researcher. The interdisciplinary co-operation, which appears to be mainly with linguistics, mathematics and computer science, should be documented better.

Opportunities:

The department has several strong young scholars who should have excellent chances of forming a core group, securing major grants (*Lumina Quaeruntur*, *Junior Star*) and attract other outstanding researchers. Apart from that, V. Svoboda's new grant project "The Nature of Logical Forms" is a good opportunity to further strengthen a trademark of the department's expertise.

Threats:

Removing the above-mentioned weaknesses may turn out to be difficult.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
<p>The quality of the selected outputs of Phase I is excellent by all standards. Many of the publications are in internationally first-rate, strictly refereed journals (<i>Erkenntnis</i>, <i>History and Philosophy of Logic</i>, <i>Journal of Logic, Language and Information</i>, <i>Journal of Philosophical Logic</i>, <i>Metaphilosophy</i>, <i>Review of Symbolic Logic</i>, <i>Synthese</i>, <i>Topoi</i> and others). In addition, there is a book publication with Routledge (by J. Peregrin and V. Svoboda), as well as a book on the philosophy of language in the Czech language (by J. Peregrin and M. Vlasáková). A result of the evaluation in Phase I was that the Department of Logic has the highest productivity in excellent outputs (grading levels 1+2) of all the teams of the Institute of Philosophy that were reviewed by this commission. This result is even more pronounced when the fractional counts are taken for the outputs with reprint authors from the institute (Figures 4-6 of the reports of phase I for the IPL)</p>	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached
<p>All members of the department have published well. But A. Klev and J. Peregrin stand out, followed closely by V. Punčochář and V. Svoboda. All 16 selected publications have 100% CAS authorship.</p>	

H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
<p>The commission did not have access to the papers themselves, though most of them should be available through many universities. However, the vast majority of the selected publications has undergone a strict refereeing process organized by high-rated journals, so a very high quality may be regarded as guaranteed.</p>	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
<p>The topics of the publications vary a lot, and they are difficult to compare. Peregrin and Svoboda's monograph is an important contribution to the philosophy of logic. But also the individual papers by the younger department members are very valuable pieces of cutting-edge work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Klev, Ansten. Carnap on unified science. <i>Studies in History and Philosophy of Science</i>. 2016, 59(1), 53-67. • Klev, Ansten. The concept horse is a concept. <i>Review of Symbolic Logic</i>. 2018, 11(3), 547-572. • Peregrin, Jaroslav. Logic Reduced To Bare (Proof-Theoretical) Bones. <i>Journal of Logic, Language, and Information</i>. 2015, 24(2), 193-209. • Peregrin, Jaroslav, and Svoboda, Vladimír. <i>Reflective Equilibrium and the Principles of Logical Analysis. Understanding the Laws of Logic</i>. New York: Routledge, 2017. • Punčochář, Vít. A Generalization of Inquisitive Semantics. <i>Journal of Philosophical Logic</i>. 2016, 45(4), 399-428. • Svoboda, Vladimír. A Lewisian taxonomy for deontic logic. <i>Synthese</i>. 2018, 195(7), 3241-3266. 	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
<p>Most of the team's work has been done individually or as a cooperation within the department. O. Majer coauthored a paper with Polish logicians and had a project with the University of Bayreuth. As is still common in the humanities, there are very few very large collaborations.</p>	

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
<p>Logic is of great importance as a practical tool for good reasoning in individuals and in the society. This has been emphasized in various outreach activities by the department members. See D4.1 below.</p>	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practise, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team's activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
<p>See answer to H2.1.</p>	
H2.3	Relation to practice
<p>See answer to H2.1.</p>	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
<p>Not applicable.</p>	

H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
Not applicable.	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
The Department of Logic has a – and probably the – leading national team in logic. It is also very strong and visible by international standards.	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
The team has cooperation with Austria (Salzburg, Vienna), Bulgaria (Sofia), France (Lille), Germany (Bayreuth, Leipzig, Munich), Italy (Pisa), the Netherlands (Amsterdam), Poland (Poznań), Switzerland (Berne) and USA (Pittsburgh). The partners are well-known philosophers and logicians. That the cooperation has been successful seems evident to the commission, even though there are only few jointly published papers.	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
The yearly Logica conferences at Hejnice Monastery are organized by the department. They are among the best and most well-known conferences in logic world-wide (and the best papers are regularly published in the Logica Yearbook edited by the team). The department further organized seven international workshops in the years 2016-2019. Finally, the department helped organizing the 16th Congress on Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science and Technology (August 5-10, 2019), which is held every four years under the auspicious of the International Union of History and Philosophy of Science and Technology.	

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
The research directions were followed successfully.	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
The research objectives were achieved and, in many cases, exceeded.	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
The team has implemented the recommendations of the last evaluation in 2015/16.	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
The team was successful in the acquisition of grant proposals at the national level, and in one instance also at the international level (Czech-German grant project "From sharing evidence to group attitudes" with the University of Bayreuth).	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment

Not applicable.	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
The management was successful in maintaining and even improving the high quality level of the department.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
The majority of the researchers at the department belongs to the younger generation, so the future thriving of the team seems secured. Some of them (A. Klev, V. Punčochář) have turned out to be very strong researchers. Four previous PhD students were employed by the department, two of them now have full-time positions at the department. In the future, a goal should be to find excellent PhD students again.	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
In the future, a goal should be to increase the number of female researchers. The commission has no comments on work-life balance conditions.	
D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.
Not applicable.	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on national and international level
There have been quite extensive teaching activities by the members of the department, mainly at Charles University, but also at the University of Hradec Králové, at Masaryk University, at the Czech Technical University and the University of Zürich. The team supervised 10 BA students, 5 MA students and 3 PhD students.	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
Not applicable.	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
100 % (according to the available information).	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
Two PhD students (V. Punčochář, P. Arazim) have contributed substantially to the output of the department, they wrote scientific papers and edited several volumes of the Logica Yearbook.	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
The team supervised 10 B.A. students and 5 M.A. students.	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching

The cooperation in form of teaching has been fairly intense, but not over-intense. This is in accordance with the commission's earlier recommendation not to burden oneself with teaching activities to an extent that stands in the way of creative research.

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
The quantity and the quality of the outreach activities of the team is very good. J. Peregrin produced newspaper articles, videoblogs and books for which he received an award for the Promotion or Popularization of Research, Experimental Development and Innovation. Furthermore, M. Vlasáková, P. Materna and V. Punčochář made important contributions in this respect, mainly as authors of popular logic books.	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
See D4.1.	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
There is a great variety of activities of the scientific community in which the team members participate. They have been members of a sizeable number of committees, societies, editorial boards etc.	

Other comments of the commission:

4. Department for the Study of Ancient and Medieval Thought

Strengths:

1. The most outstanding strength of the team is the quality of its research outputs. 2. The team members were also successful in obtaining national funding. 3. The age structure of the team (averaging around 40–45) promises long-term and stable research. 4. The members of the team have lively contacts with universities and are involved in teaching activities, shaping thus future researchers (although it is not quite clear to which extent these activities are being done within the employment at the CAS). 5. The team studies Czech scholastic thought; it is thus an indispensable part of studies devoted to national intellectual heritage.

Weaknesses:

1. The extensive involvement in teaching activities is not just a point of strength of the Department but also its weakness if it is not done within the employment at the CAS (e.g. as a joint PhD. programme with a university). 2. The Department has extreme gender imbalance since there are no women researchers. This imbalance hasn't been combated despite the efforts of the Team and the Institute. We are convinced that this is a structural problem that must be rectified on the institutional level.

Opportunities:

1. Create a full-time position for a female researcher (or a researcher from another underrepresented group), possibly by abolishing several part-time positions. 2. Increase efforts in obtaining international funding (ERC, MSCA). This has been partly implemented after the period under evaluation. 3. Try to be more involved in large scale cooperation, esp. in the field of cultural heritage and digital humanities. 4. Involve more PhD. students. Establish joint supervision with universities (as we learned for the virtual on-site visit, the team now has a Joint Ph.D. doctoral program in philosophy with the Faculty of Theology, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice). 5. Increase the ratio of international publications (in English or in another international language) in order to increase the impact. The team members focus on publishing Czech monographs on very specialized topics which may have a limited impact. 6. Formulate research priorities in order to unify the research focus of the Team.

Threats:

1. The gender imbalance may hamper the team's involvement in international cooperation and projects. At least this can be taken as a serious disadvantage in evaluating international project applications.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
These outputs and their evaluation weren't available to the Commission. Only statistical information was provided. According to this information, Team 4 has the highest number of excellent outputs within the Institute.	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached

All Team members published high quality outputs. However, some Team members focus primarily on publication in Czech which may impede their international visibility.	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
These outputs weren't available to the Commission. Judging from the bibliometric data that were provided to the Commission, the overall quality of all output is very high.	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
According to the bibliometric data that was provided, the following outputs seems to be most promising as to their impact and importance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VÍTEK, Tomáš, „Heraclitus, 22 B 14, D.-K.“ Elenchos, 36, 2 (2015), 195-233. • HAVRDA, Matyáš, “Body and Cosmos in Galen’s Account of the Soul,” Phronesis: A Journal for Ancient Philosophy 62 (2017): 69-89. 	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
Some members of the Team participate in large collaborations (Lindat/Clariah-Cz and Corpus philosophorum medii aevi with the Polish Academy of Sciences). The extent of large collaboration can be increased.	

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
Outputs related to preserving Czech cultural heritage have direct social relevance. Other outputs had indirect relevance when they were presented, in popularized form, within outreach activities.	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practice, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team’s activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
Not applicable for Ancient and Medieval studies	
H2.3	Relation to practice
Not applicable	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
The Team took part in AV21 strategy. Several subteams are involved in public discussion within Akademické fórum.	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
Most of the Team members are active within their outreach activities in regions.	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
-------------	--

Team 4 fares better than most similar national Teams and comparably to similar international Teams.	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
The Team members are active within international networks, bilateral cooperations, Marie Skłodowska Currie Actions. However, the Team is not involved in any large scale cooperation.	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
The Team members were involved in organizing around 20 conferences and workshops in the period under scrutiny.	

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
The research plans were followed.	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
Research objectives were achieved and, in many cases, exceeded.	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
The Team has implemented all recommendations from the past evaluation but one: to combat extreme gender imbalance.	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
The Team members were successful in receiving national grants. No major international grants in the period under evaluation. The Commission learned that the Team was successful in receiving international grants after the period under evaluation.	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
No applicable.	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
We have not found any flaws in the management of the Team. However, the focus of the Team members covers many topics. There are not many opportunities for collaborations and joint research within the Team. The Team management may consider proposing research priorities.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
The age and qualification structure of the Team is very good.	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
As indicated above, the Team was not able to combat extreme gender imbalance.	

D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.
Not applicable.	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on the national and international level
There is cooperation with universities on the national level (University of South Bohemia), and cooperation with universities abroad (IDEA Centre of the Leeds University, Department of Philosophy of the University of Milan, Institut de recherche et d'histoire des textes (IRHT) Paris, Thomas-Institut of the University of Cologne, Deutsches Historisches Institut Rom, Leibniz-Institut GWZO Leipzig, Centrum Myśli Jana Pawła II (Warszawa)).	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
There is one such joint research centre, Collegium Europaeum. Moreover, there is the Joint Research Group for the Study of Post-Medieval Scholasticism (with the Faculty of Theology, University of South Bohemia).	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
This information was not provided to the Commission.	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
Participation of PhD students in the output is low—only two outputs in the period under evaluation.	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
3 supervision of MA theses	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
It is desirable that the intensity of teaching activities in cooperation with universities will be increased.	

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
The quantity and the quality of the outreach activities of the Team are very good.	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
None.	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
None.	

Other comments of the commission:

5. Department of Comenius Studies and Early Modern Intellectual History

Strengths:

The Department is characterized by high quality research, by good links with university institutions and has an excellent publishing strategy, including with prestigious foreign publishers. The team can count on the existing links to top foreign research workplaces (such as Oxford University), seems to have overcome the crisis it experienced in recent years, and its structure has stabilized. Within the projects of the entire Institute the department has found an interesting path towards digital humanities (e. g., projects related to correspondence and digitalization of the Milada Blekastad-Topičová Papers).

Weaknesses:

The team structure has changed in recent years, and now it can count on two main research lines (Key project: Comenius and Early Modern Intellectual History), but the shortage of institutional funds and the dependence on temporary grants can jeopardize the team's success in the future.

Opportunities:

The broadening of the focus towards intellectual history has contributed significantly to broadening the range of activity from Comenius' work in the strict sense towards international cultural relations. The two areas of research pursued by members of the department demonstrate an ability to collaborate that justifies their common presence and the centrality of intellectual history as a priority area of investigation. This can provide a bridge to interesting interdisciplinary studies.

Threats:

For the team it is a primary necessity to include new researchers, representing new generations, bringing to fruition the work of many of the researchers in university teaching. For this, however, there is a need for stable and constant funds.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
The results achieved by the team are highly significant both nationally and internationally, as demonstrated by the placement in high-level international publications and the average of the evaluations received during phase 1 (7 inputs received grade 1 and 8 inputs grade 2 out of a total of 20).	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached
The workers contributed highly to the outputs reached.	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
The team's publications show that it plays a very significant role in placing the intellectual history of central Europe in a global context.	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field

The meticulous edition of the first volume of Comenius' correspondence within his Opera omnia certainly represents a result of exceptional cultural significance.	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
Not applicable.	

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
The publication of Comenius' Opera omnia is a long-term project of great significance for Czech culture. Its social relevance is undoubted, and it has a strong international resonance.	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practise, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team's activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
The impact of the team's activity on proper practice in society is good.	
H2.3	Relation to practice
The topics covered here are perhaps less suitable than others for presentation to the general public, but the effort of the team's researchers is still good.	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
The department is very active in this area, as evidenced by its participation in two programs for the Strategy AV21.	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
The collaboration with other national institutions and teams is at a good level as is demonstrated in particular by the intensive collaboration with the Palacký University in Olomouc and the University of South Bohemia. Collaborations could be increased further, however, without distorting the originality of the team's multidisciplinary approach.	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
The team has a very solid position in the international context, as demonstrated by its participation in the COST-project "Reassembling the Republic of Letters", the "Cultures of Knowledge" project, and the various related publications. Particularly noteworthy is the interdisciplinary character of many of the publications.	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
The scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation are extremely significant and appear to be expanding.	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
The team organized several international meetings, and the Acta Comeniana journal also demonstrated a great openness to international researchers. The invited lectures	

presented by team members at leading foreign universities appear to be of a high standard of quality. Věra Schifferová obtained an Award Premium for Scientific Literature, awarded by the Slovak Literary Fund in 2015

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
The current team structure seems well balanced, as evidenced by the involvement of some postdoctoral fellows. Future projects are of great interest. The research directions are dynamic and suggest a positive development.	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
Compared to what was expected, outputs slowed a little bit: instead of two volumes, only one volume of Comenius' correspondence has been published so far. Nonetheless, the lines of research, characterized by a strong interdisciplinary character, are very promising (for example, gender aspects).	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
While important steps have been taken in the direction of internationalization, the lack of a sufficient institutional funding base has severely limited the possibility of realizing one of the key points of the previous evaluation commission's recommendations, in regard to both team stability and the integration of talented students.	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
Some of the researchers are remarkably successful in obtaining national grants, but the grants are not sufficient for a definitive stabilization of the team.	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
The equipment is adequate.	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
The management seems effective for the team.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
The team has a balanced age structure (average number of FTEs 2015-2019 was 9.895, with a peak of 10.72 in 2017 and a drop to 9.57 in 2019). Unfortunately, all of these points are seriously affected by the insufficiency of the structural funds.	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
Assuring age and gender diversity seem to be an important concern for the team.	
D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.
Not relevant.	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on national and international level
Collaborations with the Charles University and other universities appear to be very productive. The collaborations with the University of Olomouc and the University of South Bohemia are particularly worthy of note, as are the international collaborations with Oxford and Augsburg.	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
Not indicated.	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
The success rate in the supervision of PhD students appears to be sufficient.	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
As many as eight PhD students participated in the department's activities.	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
Team members actively participate in teaching activities at both the BA and MA levels.	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
The department cooperates intensively with universities with respect to teaching, and such cooperation has good prospects for future development.	

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
The department's strategy for engaging with mass media is sufficient, but there is room for further improvement.	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
The team has published many highly significant articles and books. The ability to open up new themes is also worth mentioning.	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
The department has demonstrated more activity in this field than in the past.	

Other comments of the commission:

1. The department should step up its efforts to obtain European funding.
2. The work in digital humanities should be continued and the digitalization of the Milada Blekastad-Topičová Papers should not remain an isolated case but acquire relevance by expanding to others among the most relevant scholars of the work of Comenius.

6. Department for the Study of Modern Rationality

Strengths:

The objectives of the team are rather broadly defined, they include (1) historical and systematic research, encompassing both continental and Anglo-Saxon philosophical tradition, (2) translations and (3) the work that has been described by the team as the „service to the academic and general public“, including such things as preparation of secondary texts and companions or organization of events. As we read in the „Report on the research activity of the team“, its research interests „are broad and open-ended“. They have been summarized under three labels: (A) Modern German Philosophy, (B) Epistemology and Philosophy of mind and of language, and (C) Modern Normativity. From the survey of the outputs it is clear that the main strengths of the team are situated in the first category „Modern German Philosophy“ provided we understand it roughly as „Kant, Neokantism and Classical German Idealism“. The majority of internationally visible works listed in the self-report concern Kant, Neo-Kantianism, Fichte, Hegel and incidentally Husserl. The same applies to translations and the „service to the society“, like e.g. important discussions in the book „Moderní univerzita. Ideál a realita“, where we find references to Humboldt’s ideal of University. In short it seems that the genuine strengths of the team are currently in the area of Classical German Philosophy.

Weaknesses:

The principle of grouping the researchers gathered under the mentioned labels: (A) Modern German Philosophy, (B) Epistemology and Philosophy of mind and of language, and (C) Modern Normativity is rather puzzling. Some publications and activities (e.g. the research project „The Consciousness & Matter“, or the book: „Philosophy of Young Ludwig Wittgenstein“) would fit much better into the Department of Analytic Philosophy. Some other, like the book „Das Antlitz des Anderen: Zum Denken von Emmanuel Levinas“ could be at home in the Department of Contemporary Continental Philosophy. In general the research of Department for the Study of Modern Rationality seems to lack a single defining focus. So let us stress this as a weakness of the Department, even though we are fully aware that the administrative division of the Institute of Philosophy is a complicated and historically conditioned matter which in many cases cannot mirror perfectly the division of scientific interests.

Opportunities:

As mentioned above the strongest branch in the Department is the research related to the Classical German Philosophy. It seems that the team should try to strengthen it further. However this field of research is a bit explored, above all in the German speaking philosophical community. It would be therefore important to look at the German Idealism from a fresh and unused point of view. It seems that such a perspective could be obtained by a collaboration with other Departments of the Institute of Philosophy. We mean here e.g. the Department of Contemporary Continental Philosophy, where we find a group of researchers working on Brentano, his school and the early phenomenology. It is well known that the School of Brentano, including the early Husserl, was rather hostile against all the Kantian and Idealistic tradition of German Philosophy. Now while some parts of this criticism have their merits, some other are extremely unfair. It would be very interesting to compare these two philosophical perspectives and try to assess the crucial arguments, not least because in the literature there are not many analyses focusing on these topics. Furthermore going this way would mean to exploit the human resources that are already at disposal at the Institute of Philosophy and perhaps create a perspective that could decide on the originality of this Institute on the international stage.

Threats:

The rather imprecise definition of the genuine focus of the Department and frequent overlapping of the research areas with other Departments can eventually lead to the absorption of the Department for the Study of Modern Rationality by other Departments.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
The quality of the selected outputs of Phase I is fine, although slightly lower than in other teams. The majority of selected outputs are chapters in book, published often by renown publishing houses, like e.g. Brill or Felix Meiner Verlag.	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached
From the 12 selected publications the half has been authored by two workers: Holger Gutschmidt (4 outputs) and Jiří Chotaš (2 outputs). Roughly the half of the team is represented among the selected outputs. When we take into consideration all the outputs from the evaluation period, it turns out that there are two persons without any publications and among the remaining members the publication curve runs gradually from 1 through 2, 3 etc. to more than 10 publications. More than 40% of contributions belong to the three most productive authors. In general, the productivity of the team is not very high and not evenly distributed.	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
The commission did not have access to the papers themselves. Only statistical information was provided. According to this information, Team 6 has, in comparison with the other teams in the Institute of Philosophy, the level slightly under average.	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
As mentioned, the main focus of the Department is on the Classical German Philosophy and it is mirrored by the choice of the selected outputs. In general the main findings of the Department can be characterized as belonging to the history of Kantian tradition and German Idealism. It is extremely difficult to make real “discoveries” on this, rather exploited field, but the selected outputs represent doubtless the level of a solid historical work.	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
Most of the team's work has been done individually or as a cooperation within the department. But there are some joint papers and edited volumes in collaboration with external scientists.	

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
In this rubric let me first of all mention the valuable translations provided by the team. Such translations into national languages tend to get lost in all evaluations, as they by definition withstand any international reception. Nonetheless they are extremely important in developing tools for a reasonable discourse within a given community.	

Also very important from this point of view is discussion of the current situation of the institution of University, that can be found in the book „Moderní univerzita. Ideál a realita“.	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practise, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team’s activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
All that has been mentioned under H2.1 applies also here.	
H2.3	Relation to practice
Here again, see answer to H2.1.	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
As we read in self report: “J. Kuneš is member of the Board of the Academic Forum, a platform which facilitates meetings between academics and the wider public (see http://akademicke_forum.flu.cas.cz). It organizes discussions on current social and political subjects in the Czech regions to which they invite specialists from various fields under discussion.”	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
Not applicable.	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
The Department is comparable with similar national institutes (like e.g. at the Charles University). In comparison with the leading international institutes the activity of the Department for the Study of Modern Rationality is lower.	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
<p>The team has cooperation with</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the Institut für Philosophie Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, - the International Network Hegel’s Relevance, which functions under the auspices of the Research Institute for Culture, Cognition, History and Heritage of the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, - University of Hertfordshire, School of Humanities, and - the Department of Philosophy and History of Philosophy, Pavol Jozef Šafárik University, (Košice, Slovakia). <p>The outcomes of these collaborations are joint publications and conferences.</p>	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
<p>International conferences organized by the Department for the Study of Modern Rationality:</p> <p>An Ethical Modernity? Hegel’s Concept of Ethical Life Today – Its Limits and Potential. Prague, 26–28 September 2018. Organisers: J. Chotaš and T. Matějčková.</p> <p>Hume’s Science of Human Nature: Perspectives of Interpretation. Prague, 31 August–3 September 2016. Organised by T. Kunca and J. Chotaš.</p>	

Atelier linguistique de Prague /4./ La réflexion sur la langue au XVIIIe siècle [Prague Linguistic Workshop /4./ The Theories of Language in the 18th Century], Prague, 29 November 2019. Organisers: H. Fořtová and T. Koblížek.

International workshop Qualities and Consciousness, Prague, 29 November 2019, Organisers: J. Hvorecký and J. Mihálik.

Sixth Colloquium on the Modalities of the Good. Prague, 8–10 August 2018, Organiser: M. Barabas.

Fifth Colloquium on the Modalities of the Good. Prague, 14–16 July 2016. Organisers: Marina Barabas and Christopher Cowley.

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
The research directions were followed successfully.	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
The research objectives were achieved.	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
<p>The team implemented some recommendations. For some other an explanation, why they cannot be directly implemented, has been provided.</p> <p>Now the most important point of the recommendations of the last evaluation in 2015/16 was that “The team should check if the research plans of the different subgroups within the department can be made more relevant to each other.” This point refers of course to the considerable heterogeneity of the research area of the Department for the Study of Modern Rationality, that has been observed in 2015/16. Since then the things didn’t change much. The distribution of research interests is slightly different but still very heterogeneous. This being said let us stress that, as already mentioned under the rubric “weakness”, we are fully aware that the administrative division of the Institute of Philosophy is a complicated and historically conditioned matter which in many cases cannot mirror perfectly the division of scientific interests.</p>	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
<p>The team was successful in the acquisition of several important grants.</p> <p>Gutschmidt, H. 2017–2022 Jan Evangelista Purkyně Fellowship from the Czech Academy of Sciences (Project: From ‘Life’ to ‘The Life of the Spirit’. The Roots of Hegel’s Theoretical Philosophy in his Jena Period).</p> <p>Bojda, M. 2019–2021 Postdoctoral Fellowship of the Czech Academy of Sciences (Project: Schiller’s Aesthetics of Humanity).</p> <p>Mihálik, J. 2019–2022 Research Grant of the Czech Ministry of Education Youth and Sports (Project: The Consciousness & Matter).</p> <p>Glombíček, P., 2017–2019 Research Grant of the Czech Science Foundation (Project: On the Limits of Reason in the Age of Reason: Disputes in 18th-Century Philosophy).</p> <p>Jakub Trnka 2016–2020 Research Grant of the Czech Science Foundation (Project: The Philosophy of Erazim Kohák).</p>	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment

Not applicable.	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
The management was successful in maintaining the high quality level of the department.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
Very positive point is the engagement of some excellent scientists, often within the framework of research grants. What looks less optimistic, is the fact that in the team there is only one person under 35 and only two women.	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
In the future, a goal should be to increase the number of younger and female researchers. The commission has no comments on work-life balance conditions.	
D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.
Not applicable or unknown to the commission.	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on national and international level
There is a significant cooperation with international universities listed above under D1.2. and teaching activity at several Czech universities.	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
Not applicable.	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
100% according to the self-report.	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
No direct participation.	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
The team supervised 15 B.A. students and 15 M.A. students.	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
The cooperation in form of teaching has been fairly intense, perhaps even over-intense (the total number of semestrial lectures is 19). The team implemented partially the commission's earlier recommendation to reduce teaching activities, but it is stated explicitly that they don't want to restrict teaching too much as they "believe that teaching by team members is a form of service to the community and a way of sharing research results with students at universities" and that teaching duties "enrich our research interests and help define particular goals that should be achieved".	

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
In the self-report there is a long listing of outreach activities. The media strategy is clearly sufficient.	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
See D4.1.	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
The team members participate in numerous committees, societies, editorial boards etc.	

Other comments of the commission:

7. Department for the Study of Modern Czech Philosophy

Strengths:

The Department defines its research as focused on the history of Czech philosophy from the beginning of the 19th century through the end of the 20th century. The major areas are: History of Marxism, Dissident Thought, Philosophical and Social Thought in Czech Lands between 1800 and 1948, and Philosophy and Politics in the Post-1989 Period. It goes without saying that a well-founded research in these areas is extremely important from the perspective of the Czech-speaking community, as it is crucial for a proper self-understanding of the society. From the historical point of view this research will be further interesting for anybody who wants to understand historical developments in the Central Europe. And it is not the end of the story, for some topics that are investigated in the Department, like e.g. phenomenological Marxism or an original “non-substantial” ontology developed by Bondy, are also fascinating from the systematic perspective. The main strength of the department lies in the well-defined area of research that can be interesting both from historical and systematic point of view and both for Czech and international audience.

Weaknesses:

The main weakness of the Department consists in the fact that its members publish mainly in Czech. Even among 14 selected publications that have been evaluated within the Phase I only 3 were in English and all remaining in Czech; and if we take into consideration all the publications from the evaluation period, the percentage of works that appeared in language other than Czech remains on the level of 20%. Of course it is important to write a history of Czech philosophy also in Czech, but in order to make the international reception possible the percentage of papers in English should be definitively increased.

Opportunities:

As mentioned above the research area of the Department for the Study of Modern Czech Philosophy contains topics that are interesting both from the historical and systematic point of view and, what even more important, haven't been already thousand times described and analyzed in the secondary literature, as it is the case with the worn-out themes. For those reasons it should be possible for the members of the team to publish their works in top-level journals. The only condition is to switch to English.

Threats:

If the tendency to do the history of Czech philosophy exclusively in Czech takes the overhand, the research of the Department can become internationally invisible.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
The quality of the selected outputs of Phase I is generally fine, even though the quality profile of the Department is, according to the provided data, slightly lower than the average for the whole Institute of Philosophy. The majority of selected outputs are chapters in books, published in Czech language.	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached

Roughly the half of the team is represented among the selected outputs.	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
The commission did not have access to the papers themselves. Only statistical information was provided. According to this information, Team 7 has, in comparison with the other teams in the Institute of Philosophy, a slightly under average level.	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
<p>The most interesting works seem to be:</p> <p>FEINBERG, Joseph Grim. The paradox of authenticity: folklore performance in post-communist Slovakia. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 2018, that explores the social-philosophical significance of transformations in Slovak folklore performance since 1989; and</p> <p>MERVART, Jan. Czechoslovak Marxist humanism and the revolution. Studies in East European Thought. 2017, 69(1), 111-126.</p>	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
Not applicable.	

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
As mentioned earlier, a well-founded research of the Czech intellectual history is extremely important from the point of view of the Czech-speaking community, as it is crucial for a proper self-understanding of the society.	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practise, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team's activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
All that has been mentioned under H2.1 applies also here.	
H2.3	Relation to practice
Here again, see answer to H2.1.	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
As described in the self-report, the Department is involved in numerous programmes of the Strategy AV 21.	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
Not applicable.	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
-------------	--

<p>The unique profile of the Department for the Study of Modern Czech Philosophy makes it hardly comparable to other national and international institutions.</p>	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
<p>The team has cooperation with</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the Institute for Sociology of the Slovak Academy of Sciences in Bratislava, - the Graduate School for Social Research at the Institute of Philosophy and Sociology of the Polish Academy of Sciences. - There is also an on-going collaboration with researchers in Warsaw, including Monika Woźniak from the University of Warsaw's Institute of Philosophy and Katarzyna Bielińska of the Polish Academy of Sciences' Institute of Philosophy and Sociology. - The department regularly invites junior and senior scholars for host lectures or as keynote speakers for conferences and workshops. - The department's members (Kužel, Landa, Mervart) were invited by prof. Xavier Galmiche to embark on the project directed by L'Académie des sciences morales et politiques of Dictionnaire encyclopédique des pensées et penseurs de l'Europe du centre-est depuis 1945. 	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
<p>International Conferences</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ASEP 0511384: Feinberg, Joseph Grim, Mervart, Jan. Left Feminist Theory and Historiography: Between the Legacy of State-Socialist Emancipation and the Crises of Today. Prague, 19.09.2019-20.09.2019. • ASEP 0452501: Kužel, Petr. Význam Egon Bondyho pro současné myšlení [The Importance of Egon Bondy for Contemporary Thinking]. Organized by Department of Modern Czech Philosophy, Prague 18.11.2015, International Conference. • ASEP 0498964: Landa, Ivan, Mervart, Jan. Envisioning Emancipation: The Year 1968. International conference. Prague, 02.11.2018-02.11.2018. • ASEP 0485926: Mrňka, J., Růžička, Jiří. Za obzory revoluce v Rusku. Narativy, prostory, koncepce 100 let od události. [International Conference "Beyond the Revolution in Russia. Narratives - Spaces – Concepts. A 100 Years since the Event"]. 7.11.2017-10.11.2017. Filozofická fakulta UK Prague. <p>International Workshops</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ASEP 0510244: Feinberg, Joseph Grim, Hauser, Michael, Nesbitt, Nick, Beránková, J. Dialectic Returns: International Workshop on Dialectics. Prague, 12.09.2019- 12.09.2019. • ASEP 0460862: Kužel, Petr, Nesbitt, Nick. Economic Crisis. Fictive Capital and the Crisis of Valorization. Prague, 6.5.2016, International Workshop. • ASEP 0481838: Landa, Ivan. The Far Reaches: Phenomenology, Ethics, and Social Renewal in Central Europe. Workshop with Michael Gubser. Prague, 23.10.2017- 23.10.2017. • ASEP 0500737: Lánský, Ondřej. Workshop with Shamus R. Khan on Understanding Sexual Violence. Prague, 12.12.2018-12.12.2018. • ASEP 0500739: Lánský, Ondřej. Workshop with Jeffrey A. Winters on Oligarchy and the Politics of Wealth Power and Wealth Defense. Prague, 12.06.2018-12.06.2018. 	

- ASEP 0520621: Růžička, Jiří. Round table: Discussion on Populism with John Abromeit (Buffalo State, State University of New York) and Samir Gandesha (Simon Fraser University). Prague, 03.06.2019.

Invited Lectures

- Bonneau, Lara. IV. La réflexion sur la langue au XVIIIe siècle. Atelier linguistique de Prague. Czech Academy of Science, Prague, 29 November 2019 (invited by: T. Koblížek).
- Feinberg, Joseph Grim. Structure as Social Process: Bedřich Václavěk's Theory of Folklorization. Invited lecture at Conference "Formalism/Idealism: Comparative Literary History, 1860–1960," Paris, 8 April 2015 (invited by: B. Maslov).
- Lánský, Ondřej. The Czech Oligarchical System since 1989. Democracy and Oligarchy in the Czech Republic: Views from a Politician and a Scholar." Northwestern University (Evanston, USA), 5 November 2018 (invited by: J. A. Winters).
- Kužel, Petr. Political Thought of Egon Bondy: Marxist Criticism of Soviet-type Society in Czechoslovakia. Lecture in the "International Conference on Marxist Critical Theory in Eastern Europe," Chengdu, China. Organized by Sichuan University and Thesis Eleven Journal. 17–18 November 2018. (invited by: Fu Qilin).
- Landa, Ivan. „From Revolutionary to Conservative Marxism: Emancipatory Potential of Aesthetic Anti-Modernism". International Conference Marxism as a Method and as a Project. 16–17 October 2018, ÚČL AV, Prague. (invited by: R. Kanda.)
- Mervart, Jan. "Karel Kosík and the Prague Spring", Instytut Filozofii i Socjologii/Institute of Philosophy and Sociology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, 23 May 2015 (invited by: K. Bielińska-Kowalewska).
- Nesbitt, Nick Forget Hegel: Pierre Macherey's Spinozist Dialectic.' Dialectic Returns, 12 September 2019, Prague. (invited by: Michael Hauser)
- Růžička, Jiří. Round table: Beyond the Revolution in Russia with Michal Reimanem and Jaroslav Šimov. International conference "Beyond the Revolution in Russia. Narratives – Spaces – Concepts. A 100 Years since the Event, Prague, 7–10 November 2017.
- Svoboda, Jan: Masaryk's Conception of Democracy in 1989 and Today. Mezinárodní konference Philosophy and Social Science. Prague (Vila Lanna), 29 May – 2 June 2019. (invited by: M. Hrubec)
- Ševeček, Ondřej. „Company Towns of the Baťa Corporation – Research Results and Perspectives", Prague. Příspěvek v rámci semináře CTS, Prague, 1 December 2016. (invited by: C. Říha).

Awards

- Feinberg, Joseph Grim. Award for Popularization given by the Philosophy Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, winner for 2019.
- Kužel, Petr. Award for Popularization given by the Philosophy Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, winner for 2018.
- Lánský, Ondřej. Fulbright-Masaryk Fellowship, Rutgers Advanced Institute for Critical Caribbean Studies, Rutgers University (New Jersey, USA), December 2016 – June 2017.
- Landa, Ivan. Imre Kertész Kolleg Fellowship, University of Jena, Germany (January_December, 2016).
- Mervart, Jan. Imre Kertész Kolleg Fellowship, University of Jena, Germany (October 2019 – March 2020)

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
The research directions were followed successfully.	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
The research objectives were achieved.	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
The team made an effort to implement the recommendations. In particular the team started some research on the topics of feminist philosophy, the internalization of the research and the international mobility has been improved, and since 2019 we have also the first women in the team.	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
<p>The team was successful in the acquisition of several important grants.</p> <p>(1) 2016-2018 “Envisioning Post-Stalinist Czechoslovakia: Varieties of State Socialist Modernity”, GA ČR 16-23584S (main investigator: Jan Mervart; team members: Petr Kužel, Jiří Růžička).</p> <p>(2) 2016-2018 “Karel Kosík and the Fate of Phenomenological Marxism in East Central Europe”, GA ČR 16-26686S (main investigator: Ivan Landa).</p> <p>(3) 2017-2019 “Unity and Multiplicity in Contemporary Thought”, GA ČR, 17- 23955S (team member: Joseph Grim Feinberg)</p> <p>(4) 2017-2020 “New Exploratory Phase in Research on East European Cultures of Dissent”, COST (CA 16213) NEP4DISSENT: http://nep4dissent.eu/about-us/ (co_investigator: Jan Mervart; team member: Joseph Grim Feinberg)</p> <p>(5) 2019-2021 “From Bolzano to Badiou: An Investigation of the Foundations of Historical Epistemology and Modern European Philosophy”, GA ČR 19-20319S (main investigator: F. Nick Nesbitt)</p> <p>(6) 2019-2021 “Individualism in Czechoslovak Philosophy”, GA ČR 19-14180S (main investigator: Ivan Landa; team member: Jiří Růžička)</p>	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
Not applicable.	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
The management was successful in maintaining the high quality level of the department.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
Very positive point is the engagement of some excellent scientists, often within the framework of research grants. Also the age structure of the team doesn't look bad as two thirds of the team is younger than forty. Yet the gender balance is still a problem.	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
In the future, a goal should be to increase the number of female researchers. The commission has no comments on work-life balance conditions.	

D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.
Not applicable or unknown to the commission.	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on national and international level
There is a cooperation with international universities listed above under D1.2. and teaching activity at several Czech universities.	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
Not applicable.	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
100% according to the self-report.	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
The self-report mentions five PhD students that to a various extent participate in the outputs.	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
The team supervised 10 B.A. students and 11 M.A. students.	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
The cooperation in form of teaching has been moderate (the total number of semestrial lectures is 8).	

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
In the self-report there is an extremely long listing of outreach activities. The media strategy is clearly more than sufficient.	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
See D4.1.	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
The team members participate in numerous committees, societies, editorial boards etc.	

Other comments of the commission:

8. Centre for Theoretical Study - The Jan Patočka Archive

Strengths:

1. excellence of the outputs (published in renowned journals and publishing houses);
2. excellent work to keep the heritage of Jan Patočka's philosophy living;
3. capacity to spread Patočka's work abroad;
4. excellence of international cooperation;
5. High level of work in digitalization of Patočka's work and various material (for instance, recording, notebook, etc.);
6. high quality of the outreach activities

Weaknesses:

1. Few successful applications to find funds in order to continue the publication of Jan Patočka's Work;
2. age of the team's members.

Opportunities:

1. the Department has the great chance to keep its role of leader in global research on Patočka.
2. The Department should promote a network of scholars in Phenomenology and namely Patočka's thought. It could increase the number of researchers and scholars working in the team.
3. The Department could promote the high value of Patočka's Philosophy in the European context

Threats:

1. The low attractiveness of European grants could penalize the edition of unpublished Patočka's work.
2. If the department does not increase the number of its scholars, it runs the risk of not being able to carry out the numerous research-projects it is carrying out.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
	<p>The selected Outputs of "Phase I" are: 4 books, 9 chapters in books, 9 articles in international peer reviewed journals. The complete list of outputs evaluated in Phase I is provided among the uploaded Documents.</p> <p>The quality of the books and chapters in books evaluated in "Phase I" is excellent for three many reasons: 1- the members of the Department contribute to international research on Patočka through the publication of unpublished philosopher's work. In this way, the Department makes its accessible to the global community interested in Patočka. 2- These books and chapters in books contribute to Patočka's studies in an excellent way 3. The Chapters in the books are published in excellent publishing houses (Springer – Contribution to Phenomenology, Routledge, Bautz Verlag, Filosofia).</p> <p>The outputs selected for Phase I of the evaluation are excellent also because Patočka's works they published were that far unpublished and, therefore, they were not available for the scholars. Now, thanks to these outputs, the philosophers can accede to this work. In addition to it, these outputs are also an excellent contribution to the researches in the Phenomenology Field.</p>
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached
	<p>The members of the Team are 5 research fellows and 4 specialists.</p>

<p>During the period under evaluation (2015-2019), the members of the Department published many books and articles in journals. In addition to it, they continued the edition of unpublished Patočka's work. Finally, they promoted a transdisciplinary research with scholars coming from different backgrounds. The list of the outputs is uploaded among the documents that the team provided in (see 3-6 List_of_all_scientific_outputs.pdf). This list shows that all the members of the team have contributed to carry out the research by publishing articles and books and by organizing workshops and conferences. As it usually happens with philosophy outputs, the workers contributed mostly as authors of the whole book, article or proceeding and only in few cases as co-authors. They also served as editors of Jan Patočka's work.</p>	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
<p>The main feature of these outputs is that they are aimed at both the Czech scientific community and the international scientific community. The complete list of activities attests that the production is absolutely impressive. In addition to the outputs already evaluated in Phase I, the team finalized a large number of other publications all with ISBN and ISSN codes. These codes (present in the document 3-6 List_of_all_scientific_outputs.pdf) allow easily to check the value of each journal and books. The outputs are in Czech, Italian, French, Spanish, and English.</p> <p>At first, the high quality of outputs is testified by the editorial collocation of the articles, books, and edited collections. The outputs are published in philosophical journals indexed in the main database for Human Studies. These journals are the “point of reference” for the research in Philosophy. The Czech journals in which the team publishes are also peer reviewed, which ensures the scientific quality of their outputs. The articles published in these journals are both in Czech and in French, English and German, which allow the dissemination of the results in a broader philosophical community.</p> <p>We must mention the work that the Department is doing in order to digitalize Patočka's work. Indeed, the archive participates in the INDIHU programme – a programme focused on the development of tools and infrastructures for the digital humanities that, apart from the Institute of Philosophy, also involves the Library of the Academy of Sciences, the Czech National Library and others. It participates further to the LINDAT/CLARIAH infrastructure (Digital Research Infrastructure for the Language Technologies, Arts and Humanities). It participates, again, at the International Research Network AITIA (Archives of International Theory: An Intercultural Approach to Theoretical Manuscripts) founded by the CNRS. Since 2020, the Archive has cooperated with the HIKO project (Historical Correspondence Online, historicka-korespondence.cz, compatible with the EMLO database). In all the cases, the Archive provides its manuscripts and other materials; the output are high quality scans, OCR documents, metadata and other tools for making the materials accessible on-line. The link to Patočka's digital work is archiv.janpatocka.cz.</p>	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
<p>During the period under evaluation, the activity of the team concentrated on six areas: 1) Editing Patočka's Texts; 2) Interpreting Patočka's phenomenology within a broader philosophical context; 3) Reconsidering the Inner Development of Patočka's Thought; 4) Seeing Patočka within the Context of Czech Thought; 5) Crossing the Boundaries of Disciplines; 6) Digital humanities.</p> <p>1) In accordance with the status of the Archive, the members prepared several texts by Patočka for publication, the process including source study (and source selection in the case of volumes consisting in collections of various texts), textual criticism, repeated examination of the print template with the manuscript, bibliographical study resulting in adding footnotes and editorials comments, and factual and linguistic review and</p>	

proofreading. In the case of publications in foreign languages, the members assisted the translators and editors in the editorial process.

Number of outputs: **3 Czech editions and 5 editions published abroad (Italy, France, Spain)**

2) The members of the team, as authors and editors, made their contribution to the philosophical research, mainly (yet not exclusively) to the reflection of Patočka's variant of phenomenology as compared with the many other variants within the overall development of the phenomenological movement. The results of the research were disseminated – in addition to through the pedagogical activity, lectures, seminars etc. of the members (see the respective parts of this report) – in **6** publications. For these ones the team collaborates also with Australian and Canadian scholars.

3) Starting from the philosophical questions dealt with in points 1 and 2, members of the team paid attention to the organic development of Patočka's philosophy when planning books and publishing papers (see Report of the Research activity of the team, pp. 4-5).

Number of outputs: **4**.

4) J. Frei's project focused on the topic "Patočka and the Context of Czech Thought" is being carried out thanks to the support from the Czech Science Foundation for 2020–2022.

5) The Archive is a part of the transdisciplinary Center for Theoretical Study (see also the point Involvement in the joint research centres); the members of the team, then, as philosophers, take part to the discussions with the members of the other part of the Center coming from different scientific backgrounds. In addition, members of the team themselves perform research in other areas than philosophy. The results of both kinds of research were published in **6** outputs.

6) For the involvement in Digital Humanities projects see above, H1.3

H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
-------------	---

See H1.3 and H1.4 for Digital Humanities projects.

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
-------------	--

The research of the Team match the mission of CAS, which is "to conduct research in a broad spectrum of the natural, technical and social sciences and the humanities. This research, whether highly specialized or interdisciplinary in nature, aims at advancing developments in scientific knowledge at an international level, while also taking into account the specific needs of both Czech society and national culture".

This match is carried out by the Department in a double direction, as 1- it contributes to increase the prestige of CAS in the field of philosophy (namely phenomenology), and 2- it is carrying out projects whose purpose is the growth of Czech society, by spreading the work of Jan Patočka's Work both in national and international contexts.

1- Regarding the increase of the prestige of CAS in the in the field of continental philosophy and phenomenology, it is certified both by the cultural value of the outputs (see above H1.1-H1.4), and by the fact that excellent researchers from abroad apply to carry out

<p>their research with the members of Department. This contributes to the international prestige of CAS by attracting people who intend to study Patočka's work.</p> <p>2- Even if the outputs of the Centre for Theoretical Studies – Jan Patočka Archive are mainly aimed at an audience of experts in the national and international philosophical field, thanks to the Outreach Activities the Team is showing the relevance of Patočka philosophical, social and political involvement. More information will be provided in "Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)"</p>	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practise, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team's activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
<p>The Patočka Archive is certainly a Department of Philosophy but it is also a centre focused on a philosopher known for many other reasons too. This makes of Patočka "a Czech philosopher for a European thought". All the activities that the Team is carrying out are usefulness for society, as shown by the task of "Jan Patočka Archive".</p>	
H2.3	Relation to practice
<p>Not Applicable</p>	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
<p>Within the framework "Strategy AV 21", the Archive is involved in two programmes:</p> <p>1- Europe and State Between Barbarism and Civilization</p> <p>2- Memory in the Digital Age</p> <p>The first program is directly inspired from the Patočka's work Heretical Essays in the Philosophy of History, the second one is linked with the great digitalization work that the Department is carrying out.</p>	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
<p>The team collaborates with Charles University in Prague.</p>	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
<p>Compared with similar international Archives (Archives Husserl, Paris ENS, Fonds Jean Nabert, Paris; Fonds Michel Henry, Louvain La Neuve), the Teams is active and productive on the average (Archives Husserl) and beyond the average (if we compared it to the other institutes).</p>	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
<p>On p. 13 the "Participation of team members in activities of scientific community" is carefully described.</p> <p>The Archive is a part of the Centre for Theoretical Study – an Institute for Advanced Studies, jointly established and run by Charles University and the Czech Academy of Sciences. The members of the Archive participate at its transdisciplinary seminars.</p> <p>Ivan Chvatík is 1- a member of the Editorial Board of the publishing house FILOSOFIA of the Institute of Philosophy; president of the publishing house OIKOYMENH of the Institute</p>	

<p>of Philosophy; a member of the Editorial Advisory Board of the biannual journal <i>Social Imaginaries</i> (Charlottesville, Virginia, USA).</p> <p>Pavel Kouba is a member of the Advisory Board of the Journal <i>Phainomena</i> (Ljubljana, Slovenia); of the Editorial Board of the Journal <i>Reflexe</i> (Prague); of the Editorial Board of the <i>Internationales Jahrbuch für Hermeneutik</i> (Tübingen); of the DrSc-Dissertation Commission in Philosophy.</p> <p>The Patočka Archive hosted more than forty foreign scholars who wanted to study Patočka's work. These scholars came to Europe but also from Extra-European countries such as Argentina, Australia, Canada, USA.</p>	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
<p>The workers are involved in community activities. They were involved in many conferences, lectures and workshops (either they organized, or they were invited to).</p> <p>The members of the team provided a complete list of conferences and workshops they attended and organized. The documents the team provided, attest that these conferences and workshops attracted the most important scholars in the field to Prague. The conferences and Lectures to which the members of the teams were invited also have a great international prestige.</p>	

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
<p>The team matches perfectly the planned goals of the research directions provided by the Institute of Philosophy (=IP). Among the strategic aims of the Institute there is: 1- to build a structure of excellent international collaboration (collaborative projects, publishing activities, conferences and workshops, mobility projects) with the aim to actively participate to the formation of international research; 2) to realize long-time (research, editorial and translation) projects considering specific needs of Czech society and culture, including the projects with applicatory potential; 3) to build scientific infrastructure and provide services to both academia and the public (libraries, e-infrastructures, electronic databases and archives, publishing houses, journals). The Department of Theoretical Study is perfectly in line with these aims of the IP.</p>	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
<p>The activity plan of the team for the period of 2015-2019 was very rich. The main fields of research objectives were 1) publication of Patočka's work and 2) monography on Patočka Phenomenology.</p> <p>Regarding point 1), in addition to the works the team has finished in that period, it planned to publish 2 further volumes of Patočka's work:</p> <p>Jan Patočka: <i>Fenomenologické spisy [Phenomenological Writings] III/3</i>, Jan Patočka: <i>Writings to the History of Philosophy</i> (Collected Works Vol. 14).</p>	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
<p>The previous evaluation observed and recommended: 1. Lack of activities aimed to popularization the Patočka's work; 2. Lack of theses defended during the period under evaluation; 3. Overlap with the research carried on by other teams of the Institute of Philosophy; 4. High age of the members of the team; 5. to promote gender equality. As we</p>	

read in the Report on the research activity of the team , p. 10, the Team did the greatest effort to answer to these recommendations and the high value of its outputs attests it.	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
The team received the following grant from Czech Science Foundation: “Czech Philosophical Humanism: an Open Question. Patočka, Masaryk, their critics and successors” (project No. 20-26526S).	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
Not applicable.	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
The considerable commitment to research and the quality of outputs prove the effectiveness of the management. The high effectiveness of management is proven by the number and quality of the outputs and by the involvement of all the members in the strategy of the management of the team. This allows exchanging and sharing the matters and results in order to continue to increase the research.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
<p>Until 2019, the age of the members of the team was between 50 and 60.</p> <p>In order to attract the best scientists, the team (in agreement with the Institute of Philosophy) is committed to ensuring that recruitment and selection procedures are fair and transparent.</p> <p>However, due to the limited budget of the Archive, it is not easy for the Archive to recruit researchers. In addition to it, the aim of the team is to increase Patočka’s heritage, the growth of the structure is strictly linked to the interest of the scholars for this philosopher. On pp. 8 (HR Policy of the Team) and 11-12 the team clearly explains its vision about these matters.</p>	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
Not applicable.	
D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Program of Sustainability II.
Not applicable.	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on national and international level
During the period under evaluation (2015-2019), the Department cooperated with the following universities and centres of research: until 2015, the Archive was involved in the Czech-Australian collaborative project “Judgement, Responsibility and the Life-World”. Its output are the three books edited by I. Chvatík and L. Učník (see Report, p. 3).	

<p>There is a long-term cooperation (since 1984) with the Jan Patočka Archive at the Institute for Human Sciences (Institut für die Wissenschaften vom Menschen) in Vienna. The aim of the cooperation is co-organizing international seminars to promote the interpretation of Patočka's intellectual legacy and to foster translation of Patočka into foreign languages (currently 25) and co-organizing the "Jan Patočka Fellowship" offering long-time stays in IWM for Czech scholars.</p> <p>A cooperation was established with the Rimbaud and Verlaine Foundation in London to translate selected works by Jan Patočka into English, to be published by Bloomsbury in 2021 (output expected: about 500 pages).</p>	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
<p>The members of the Department cooperate with international institutes in order to carry out editorial projects on Patočka, as we show in D3.1.</p>	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
<p>During the period 2015-2019 3 PHD Theses were defended; 8 these were supervised and for 1 these of the members of the teams were appointed as consultants.</p>	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
<p>In the evaluated period (2015–2019), there were two PhD students directly involved in the edition of Jan Patočka's work, that is P. Sladký and M. Rabas, who participated in the edition of Jan Patočka: <i>Fenomenologické spisy III/2. O zjevování</i> (2016).</p>	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
<p>The Team is involved in Pedagogical activity at Charles University, Faculty of Arts and Faculty of Humanities, Prague. The number of semestrial lectures, seminars and courses in 2015-2019 was 11 (Master).</p>	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
<p>As we wrote in D1.2, the Archive is a part of the Center for Theoretical Study – an Institute for Advanced Studies, jointly established and run by Charles University and the Czech Academy of Sciences. The members of the Archive participate to its transdisciplinary seminars. In the period of 2015–19, two books based on the seminars were published (output: <i>Spor o pravdu</i> [A Dispute over Truth], 2016 ; <i>Spor o proces a událost</i> [A Dispute over Process and Event], 2018).</p>	

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
<p>In order to popularize their research, in the period 2015-2019 the members of the team both held public lectures and organized some public events.</p> <p>A list of these outreach activities is provided in the Report, pp. 14-15.</p> <p>These activities are 1- lectures held in Festivals and public events (Night of Scientists, European Festival of Philosophy, Week of Science and Technology); 2- organization of exhibition "Jan Patočka "Philosoph und Staatsfeind" in Vienna; 3- organization of Meetings and lectures with High School; 4- Patočka Fellowship at the IWM.</p>	

On the occasion of the 40th anniversary of the meeting between Jan Patočka as spokesman for the Charter 77 with Max van der Stoep, the Foreign Minister of the Kingdom of Netherlands, the Archive organized a celebration in the presence of leading Czech and Dutch politicians (see <https://slideslive.com/38900190/setkani-jana-patocky-s-maxem-van-der-stoepem-udalost-historickeho-vyznamu-po-40-letech>);

From November 2018 to January 2019, in the main building of the Academy of Sciences in Prague, the Archive organized an exhibition (under the title “Filosofovo bytí a čas” [Being and Time of the Philosopher]) showing Patočka’s lifework as interwoven into Czech culture from the 1930s up to the 1970s as well as its later reception. See <https://www.avcr.cz/cs/pro-verejnost/vystavy/vystavy-v-budove-av-cr/vystavy/2018/filosofovo-byti-a-cas.html>);

From June to July 2019, a reduced version of the same exhibition was presented in the central building of the University of Pardubice.

In spring 2017, on the 40th anniversary of Charter 77 and of Patočka’s death, the members of the team published several articles and gave speeches in the popular media: in the press (Czech and Slovak), on the radio, and on television; In 2017–18, the Archive cooperated with Prof. Nicolas de Warren and his team when creating the movie “The Socrates of Prague” (see <http://patockamovie.open.org/>);

In 2019, the Archive cooperated with the theatre group Studio hrdinů in Prague when creating scenic documentary “Jan Patočka a Charta 77” [Jan Patočka and Charter 77].

D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
-------------	--

2 of the members of the Team are serving as Editorial Board Member of renowned philosophical journals and publishing houses.

On pp. 13-14 of the Report we can find any information.

The quality of these publishing activities is high as the quality of the journal attests.

D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
-------------	--

Not applicable.

9. Centre of Global Studies

Strengths:

1. The most outstanding strength of the team is the truly global reach of its scientific interlocution. 2. The average rating of the team ranks members when calculated from reprint author ranks second, and when calculated from FC ranks third, among the eight teams of the Institute evaluated in Phase I (see Figure 3 of the Report of Phase I). 3. The Team's outreach activities are massive and have contributed to disseminate awareness of globalization processes within the public sphere of the Czech Society. 4. The Team members actively interact with universities and supervise or have supervised over 10 Ph.D. students within the evaluation period. 5. On a stable basis The Team includes a member from Sub-Saharan Africa who is part of an ERC.

Weaknesses:

1. The Team had a chance to take only partial steps toward remedying the gender imbalance affecting it and targeted for intervention after the previous evaluation. 2. The age structure of the Team is under the conflicting demands a) of rejuvenation and b) the persistence of a sizeable layer of senior membership required by the Team's role within the capable of exercising leadership within the Strategy AV21 Program “Global Conflicts and Local Interactions”. 3. The share of high-quality publications (monographs with leading international publishers and articles in high-standing journals) produced by the Teams may be affected somehow by the breadth of outreach activities and institutional networking underway, calling for a measure of rebalancing.

Opportunities:

1. The Team might want to continue its ongoing effort at age and gender balancing. 2. A more intense effort might be invested in publishing high quality monographs with leading international publishers and articles in high-standing journals. 3. The Team focuses on processes of globalization broadly conceived: its impact and visibility would gain from prioritizing one or two of the various distinct fields of global studies, each with a distinct body of literature (e.g.: global constitutionalism, global justice and redistribution, global governance, “democratic peace”, human rights and their enforcement, migration).

Threats:

1. Although underfunding may well be a problem that exceeds the budgetary scope of this specific Team, it is certainly an insidious threat in the long run, in that it affects the Team's ability to attract foreign scholars and stabilize them as members, as well as to sustain its multiple commitments world-wide.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
These outputs and their evaluation weren't available to the Commission. Only statistical information was provided. According to this information, Team 9 has the third highest average rating among the teams (see Fig. 3, p. 7).	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached

All Team members published high quality outputs. A few Team members focus primarily on publication in Czech which may reduce their international visibility.	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
The Commission did not have access to single outputs. Based on the bibliometric data available to the Commission, the overall quality of the aggregate output is considerably high.	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
<p>These three outputs are among the most influential products of the Team's research and exert impact at the level of international debates on Africa, human rights and societal transformation:</p> <p>KASANDA, Albert. <i>Contemporary African Social and Political Philosophy: Trends, Debates and Challenges</i>. London: Routledge, 2018. ISBN 978-0-8153-8165-5.</p> <p>PINZANI, Alessandro. The grammar of rights and the grammar of needs. <i>Human Affairs</i>. 2019, 29(3), 328-338. ISSN 1210-3055 doi:10.1515/humaff-2019-0027.</p> <p>ARNASON, J. P., HRUBEC, Marek, eds. <i>Social Transformations and Revolutions: Reflections and Analyses</i>. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2016, 202 pp. ISBN 978-1-4744-1534-7.</p>	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
<p>The Team does not report participation in large collaborations strictly defined. However, its members cooperate in networks that include a) the Research Program “Global Conflicts and Local Interactions” within Strategy AV21, which includes 70 researchers from 9 institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences; b) leading Universities in the Czech Republic; c) non-academic institutions (such as the Government’s Council for Human Rights, the Czech Parliament; the Czech embassies abroad). At the international level, Team members a) are engaged in cooperation with the UNESCO Commission for science, education, and information; b) have been partners to the founding of the East Africa Star University, a university primarily for students coming from Burundi, Rwanda, and DR Congo; and c) are engaged in joint research activities about societal transformation within an ongoing cooperation of the international bilateral Research Grant focused on the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (Beijing) and the Czech Academy of Sciences (Prague).</p>	

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
<p>The Team invests considerable energy in ensuring that its research results, concerning mainly the societal impact of globalization processes, have an impact on the public sphere of the Czech Society, through dissemination at the “Science and Technology Week” and at the “European Festival of Philosophy”, as well as through direct intervention in the media.</p>	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practise, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team’s activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
Not applicable to this Team.	
H2.3	Relation to practice

Not applicable to this Team.	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
The Team participates in Strategy AV21 through the research program “Global Conflicts and Local Interactions”.	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
Cooperation takes mainly the form of a yearly four-day regional conference in Prague (two days) and in another city (two days), which includes participants from the Czech Republic and the Slovak Republic, on contemporary themes related to global studies.	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
Team 9 has no match in the country concerning the global reach of its activities and can be considered of equal ranking with many similar international Teams.	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
Several Team members are very active within international networks, numerous bilateral cooperation in Africa, Asia, Latin America, North America and Europe.	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
On a stable and recurring basis, Team 9 organizes a series of annual conferences that cover a truly global spectrum: EU and USA, Muslim majority countries, China, Africa, Russia and Eastern Europe.	

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
Research appears to be in line with the perspective of the planned research.	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
The research objectives were mostly achieved.	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
Recommendations from past evaluation were largely followed, and more specifically serious attempts have been made to balance the age structure of the Team, to promote gender balance, and to increase the number of the Team's outputs included in the top two layers of quality.	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
The Team has financed its activities mostly through domestic sources of funding and through the partnership established with foreign centers and institutions. However, along with London	

University and SOAS, the Team participates in the ERC project “Philosophy and Genre: Creating a Textual Basis for African Philosophy” with a research unit led by Albert Kasanda.	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
Not applicable to this Team.	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
The Team appears to have been managed effectively both in terms of internal integration of the members and in terms of the external projection of the activities on the global scale.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
The Team appears to have sensibly tried to reconcile the two conflicting needs on one hand to rejuvenate the age-structure and the same time to maintain the authoritative quality of senior leadership demanded by the Team's central role within the “Global Conflicts and Local Interactions” Research Program, which includes nine institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences.	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
The Team has partially remedied gender imbalance and laid the foundations, via appropriate undergraduate programs, for future more extensive and successful re-balancing policies.	
D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.
Not relevant.	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on national and international level
The Team's activities evidence an extended cooperation with universities both within the Czech Republic and in 4 continents, a cooperation ranging from recurring conferences that create stable networks of scientific interlocution, to the co-founding of institutions of higher learning (such as the East Africa Star University).	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
One such joint research centre is “Global Conflicts and Local Interactions” Research Program, within the framework of Strategy AV21. The Team plays a leading role within such research center.	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
11 dissertations have been supervised or are still under supervision in the relevant time span.	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
Adequate.	

D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
Members of the Team have offered a total of 18 courses or seminars at the master level and 8 at the bachelor level.	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
In light of D3.5 the Team appears to cooperate intensively with universities in the form of teaching. There is certainly no need to further increase this level of cooperation.	

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
The Team's media strategy is adequate, and its activities are abundant. The Team commands a massive presence in newspapers, magazines radio and TV as well as in cultural events open to the general public.	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
The Team has established a series in the publishing house Filosofia, which has published 64 books so far.	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
Participation is intensive and very broad, with global reach.	

Other comments of the commission:

10. Centre for Science, Technology and Society Studies

Introduction:

The Centre is an interdisciplinary research unit and it is the only such institution in the Czech Republic which undertakes wide research that integrates science and technology studies (STS) (1) in the historical and systemic contexts, and (2) in the theoretical and practical dimension. It also underlines the importance of research in social sciences and Humanities. Research is carried out in a wide context of the functions and development of science and modern technologies, with the use of the variety of methods relevant to the research objectives, and in international cooperation.

The Centre also plays a significant practical role in the country, through providing tools to evaluate research which has practical implications for science policy and research management.

1. Strengths and opportunities:

The strength of the Team is **interdisciplinarity, internationality, theoretical and practical importance of research** due to its topicality.

During the evaluation period the particular Team members participated in two long-term EU projects: (i) **European Regional Development Fund – Danube Transnational Programme (ERDF)**, (ii) **European FP7 Res-A Gor A project**, and (iii) in other projects funded by the Czech agendas (on temporality of knowledge production, on a hundred-year-old mathematical culture in the Czech lands from the 18th to the 19th century, and other topics from the field of history of social sciences, digital humanities, logical semantics). All team members are scientifically very active and cooperate with many foreign partners. The Commission highly appreciates the support for two young researchers in carrying out their research in the Laboratory of the National Institute of Informatics in Tokyo and in the Interdisciplinary Laboratory for Study of Reflexivities (CNRS/EHESS) in Paris.

The Centre publishes the interdisciplinary journal for science studies *Teorie Vedy/Theory of Science* (in English and Czech). In 2017 the journal has been indexed in the Scopus database and in 2019 after the adoption of open access policy in many other databases. The journal has an opportunity to enter wider international research circle.

2. Weakness and Threats

Despite intensive activity of Team members, the weakness of the Team as such lies in insufficient involvement of the entire team (and not just most active members) in getting the EU grants. **The Commission sees the further need for grants in order to recruit young researchers who will be capable of taking future positions at the Institute, and particularly in the Centre itself.**

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
5 of the 19 evaluated publication outputs of Phase I are categorized as world-leading, and 7 outputs are internationally excellent.	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached

<p>Team members contribute in different ways to the increase of publications, in the IF journals (8), other journals (17), internationally or only nationally accessible monographs (2 + 2) or chapters in collective works (32).</p>	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
<p>The quality of outputs of the Team members is very high. In turn, practical results from the implementation of the DRFT programme, listed in the documents ResInfra@DR Joint Action Strategy and Recommendation for RI Managers deserve a particularly high rating.</p>	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
<p>In the field of history of mathematics: (1) studies on Bolzano’s early mathematical works in geometry as referring to unknown manuscripts, and on the mathematical education in Bolzano’s times in the Habsburg empire (due to the presentation of quite new research context), (2) Davide Crippa’s monograph book <i>Impossibility of Squaring the Circle in the 17th Century: The Debate among Gregory, Huyens and Leibniz</i>, Birkhäuser 2019 because it presents unknown debate.</p> <p>In the field of logical semantics and type theories: Ivo Pezlar’s articles because of their creative nature, and additionally Pezlar developed Pavel Tichy’s approach which is an important Czech contribution to intensional logic.</p> <p>In the field of digital humanities: Radim Hladík’s research which has also practical importance and his findings were presented in two international conferences (in Paris, 2018 and in Rome, 2019).</p> <p>In practical dimension the already highlighted research within the ERFD programme was particularly significant.</p>	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Jan Balon, together with Adolf Filáček and Jíří Loudín participated in the EU ERFD project realized by 11 research institutions from 9 countries. 2. Adolf Filáček took also part in the European FP7 Res-A Gor A project (2014-2016) and (from 2015 to 2016) in the Monitoring Network of Responsible Research and Innovation, coordinated by Institute for Advanced Studies in Vienna. 3. Tereza Virtová cooperated with Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology in Halle on Visegrád Anthropologists’ Network. 4. Radim Hladík participated in DARIAH-Cz infrastructure projects. 5. Jan Maršálek participated in research in Ecole Normale Supérieure, Ecole des Hautes Études en Science Sociâles/CNRS Paris and at Université Laval, Québec. 	

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
<p>The Centre in its mission has socially significant research and this mission is realized with great success (as seen above in H1.5). Participation of Team members in the EU ERFD project had significant socioeconomic impact of research infrastructure in the Danube region.</p>	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practice, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team’s activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities

<p>The Team members not only conduct the research on knowledge transfer into practice, but also create a platform for science communication and knowledge transfer to society, as well as knowledge management entities. DARIAH-Cz infrastructure projects and monitoring network of responsible research and innovation are particularly highlighting here.</p>	
H2.3	Relation to practice
<p>The research on social changes in different spheres of science and higher education were conducted in strict relation to practice.</p>	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
<p>The Centre significantly participates in AV21 strategy. The “Memory of Digital Age strategy includes (a) ” DARIAH-Cz infrastructure projects, (b) a public application “Evalvis 17+” for the data from bibliometric evaluation, (c) “Voyant Tools” application for a Czech translation. Beyond that the Centre developed a moderated distribution network Třetí oblast (Third area) of academic announcements for the humanities and social sciences in the Czech Republic.</p>	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
<p>Not well recognized by the Commission, but participation in the European Regional Development Fund – Danube Transnational Programme (ERDF) indicates regional cooperation.</p>	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
<p>Team No 10 is a leading national team. Taking into account activity and engagement in international cooperation (D1.2 – D1.3) the Team has quite good international position (excellent in some areas, and average in others), and has potential to be excellent.</p>	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
<p>As has been mentioned in H1.5</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Jan Balon was a work-package leader in the ERFD project. 2. Adolf Filáček participated in the European FP7 Res-A Gor A project, and he was the Czech national correspondent for the Monitoring Network of Responsible Research and Innovation, coordinated by Institute for Advanced Studies in Vienna. 3. Tereza Virtová cooperated with Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology in Halle on Visegrád Anthropologists’ Network. 4. Radim Hladík participated in DARIAH-Cz infrastructure projects. 5. Jan Maršálek participated in research in Ecole Normale Supérieure, Ecole des Hautes Études en Science Sociales/CNRS Paris and at Université Laval, Québec. <p>Other (not so broad) forms of cooperations (mainly in preparation of publications or organising of joint conferences)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Jan Balon and John Holmwood cooperated with Gurminder Bhambra (University of Sussex) in the field of social sciences. 7. Radim Hladík cooperated with Gary Hall (Coventry University). 	

8. Davide Crippa cooperated with Steve Russ (University of Warwick) and with Marco Scarbi (University of Venice) in the field of history of mathematics.	
9. Filip Vostal cooperated with Dick Pels (University of Amsterdam).	
10. Wendy Drozenová cooperated with the University in Prešov, and with two leading Czech universities: Charles University and Masaryk University.	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
The Team of the Centre is very active in organizing conferences, workshops, invited lectures. Most of these events are regular. During the evaluation period the Centre organized 18 different international conferences and workshops. Team members were also invited to deliver lectures or conference presentations. Three young researchers were awarded for outstanding achievements (Ivo Pezlar received an award from Masaryk University in Brno, Davide Crippa from the International Commission for the History of Mathematics, and Filip Vostal from Czech Academy of Sciences).	

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
There is a development plan for the next 5 years in direction of greater internationalization of publications and cooperation, applying for new grants (including specific data on starting or continued research projects).	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
Research objectives were achieved and, in many cases, have been exceeded.	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
Recommendations from the previous evaluation have been implemented.	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
The Team members were very successful in receiving national grants, and individual team members were successful in obtaining external grants.	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
It is not known to the Commission. Instrumental equipment is important.	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
It is very good, but after years there are again new challenges.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
The Centre has excellent young researchers, and the Commission appreciates the support for their scientific development in the best foreign centers.	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
The Commission does not have any objections.	

D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.
It is not known to the Commission. From the plan it is seen that Tereza Virková belongs to the team that submitted a grant application “on potential transformation of Czech public and academic institutions towards greater sustainability”.	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on the national and international level
As has been mentioned above in D1.2, particular Team members cooperate scientifically with partners from many foreign universities. On the national level it is mainly cooperation in higher education.	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
Not applicable.	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
3 defended out of 5 PhD students.	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
Tereza Virtová participated in two publication outputs.	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
3 supervisors of 11 MA theses and 2 supervisors of 2 bachelor’s theses.	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
5 team members are involved in teaching.	

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
The Team is very active in the area of research popularization: in open lectures and workshops, participation in the Festival of Science and Technology, Philosophy Night and other events with the use of modern media.	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
The Commission did not have a full access to the content of publications. Publishing activities of the Team are quite satisfactory.	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
Several Team members belong to the prestigious European organizations.	

Other comments of the commission:

Recommendations

The need for financial support to recruit, if possible, outstanding scientists and grants for young researchers.

Without employing young researchers, the age balance of the team will be lost within 5 year.

The Scientific Council should decide on a further strategy, whether to develop research so widely as so far and expand the Centre (which will be divided into research units) or choose the priority research areas and greater specialization.

11. Centre for Classical Studies

Strengths:

Strengths of the Centre for Classical Studies include

- well-organised departments with a capable and efficient management
- a stable basis of researchers with minimal fluctuation
- a well-balanced age structure with senior scholars who are at the peak of their research careers
- openness to interdisciplinary research, also beyond the boundaries of the humanities
- great experience with maintaining and building databases of international relevance
- the realisation of internationally recognised long-term projects

The internal relations between the researchers within the Centre for Classical Studies are very intense. Moreover, a high degree of cooperation with domestic universities and international research institutes complements the lively internal communication with national and international networks.

Thus, an excellent research infrastructure is created and maintained not only for the sake of the employees, but also for the broader public.

A specialised library with a substantial collection of books and journals adds to the excellent overall impression.

Weaknesses:

Although specific steps have already been made to remedy the situation, the main weakness consists in still a rather high number of publications in national venues, which limits the impact of the – without doubt – internationally competitive research. However, improvements in this regard since the last evaluation are clearly visible.

The pedagogical activity of the Centre for Classical Studies was concentrated on several domestic higher education institutes. Similar activities at renowned foreign universities should be sought and facilitated.

Opportunities:

The centre represents a gem in the Czech academic landscape that has the potential to become a hub for classical studies in Central Europe. To this end, the cooperation with further partners from other Central European countries with strong institutions in classical studies should be extended (e. g. Austria, Hungary, Slovenia, Croatia, etc.). At the same time, the network with leading international institutions in the field should be consolidated and – where possible – deepened.

This could be achieved or supported by extending pedagogical activities to these facilities and universities abroad when appropriate opportunities arise or corresponding scholarships are obtained.

Threats:

The main threat for high-quality research in the Centre for Classical Studies are comparatively rather substandard wages which – to a certain extent – compromise the Centre's positive development and its competitiveness.

There are also rather limited opportunities to apply for international grant projects or for grants supported by other providers than the Czech Science Foundation.

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
<p>The quality of the selected outputs altogether was assigned to the two highest ratings. Out of 36 evaluated publication outputs in total, 13 were categorised as “world-leading” and 19 as “internationally excellent”. With this ranking, the Centre’s performance is above average compared to all other departments evaluated by Commission 11.</p>	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached
<p>The researchers of the Centre contribute significantly to the development of classical studies in the Czech Lands and in the broadest sense on an international scale. For the most part, they produced at least excellent and internationally competitive outputs. They figure as main authors of some monographs, but also as co-authors in lexicographic enterprises and collective monographs. The individual researchers’ participation to selected outputs is rather equally distributed and shows good involvement of the whole team and a high degree of inter-institutional cooperation.</p>	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
<p>The number of outputs evaluated during the first phase and the quality of scientific outputs according to the individual types during the period 2015–2019 is excellent. During the evaluation period, the researchers of the Centre produced nearly 300 scholarly outputs out of which 22 were books, approx. 70 were articles in academic journals, and approx. 100 were book chapters, which clearly demonstrates the high scientific productivity of the Centre. Internationally relevant outputs have been achieved, e. g. in the area of Early Christian Literature, within the renowned book series <i>Arbeiten zur Kirchengeschichte</i> (Works on Church History), and Petr Kitzler published a monograph of international reach and reputation (<i>From Passio Perpetuae to Acta Perpetuae</i>) in the publishing house De Gruyter. Several members of the Centre contributed to prestigious lexicons in the field such as <i>Handbuch der Dogmengeschichte</i>, <i>Brill’s Companion to the Reception of Socrates</i>, <i>The Brill Encyclopedia of Early Christianity Online</i>, etc. A large grant project (scheduled for 2019–2021) was obtained by the title “The Transmission and Transformation of Ideas in Hellenism, Early Judaism, and Early Christianity”. Several members of the Centre participated in this enterprise, which was already accompanied by an international conference.</p>	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field
<p>The Centre’s most valuable discoveries and findings are evenly distributed among the several departments.</p> <p>The most valuable discoveries of the Department of Classics and Classical Reception resulted in two collective monographs (<i>Ve stínu hellénského slunce: obrazy antiky v moderní české kultuře</i> and <i>Vymyšlená Ithaka</i>). Both of them introduce a new perspective to the research of the Classics and their cultural reception in the Czech Lands.</p> <p>The Biblical Studies Department’s main achievements were published in the context of the framework topic “History and Interpretation of the Bible”. Together with many other partners, a collective monograph was released in 2016 by De Gruyter in the book series <i>Deuterocanonical and cognate literature studies</i>. Relevant outputs focused on different aspects of the Czech biblical translation. Its traditions can without doubt be considered as internationally highly competitive. Except for this output, there were several others published by prestigious publishing houses and journals.</p> <p>The main research activity of the Department of Medieval Lexicography is represented by the <i>Dictionary of Medieval Latin in the Czech Lands</i>. The dictionary is based on material</p>	

<p>amounting today to ca. 800,000 excerpt sheets. This dictionary is unique for its use of many unedited sources, especially scientific treatises and administrative texts. Except for the vigorous individual research activities of the department’s members, the working collective also participated in the creation of databases.</p> <p>After a reformulation of the research focus of the Department of Neo-Latin Studies, the team systematically dealt with the development and transformation of the concept of “<i>Historia litteraria</i>” in the Czech Lands from the end of the 17th century to the beginning of the 19th century. As the main publication output a collective monograph with the title <i>Historia litteraria v českých zemích od 17. do počátku 19. století</i> was published. Except for three volumes of Cerroni’s <i>Scriptores Regni Bohemiae</i>, also an electronic database based on the critical edition of J. P. Cerroni’s <i>Scriptores Regni Bohemiae</i> was created during the evaluation period.</p>	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
<p>Not applicable in the strict sense.</p> <p>However, researchers of the Centre for Classical Studies prepare and supplement specialised databases which are freely accessible on the Internet. These databases, as well as other databases developed by the Institute of Philosophy, are included in the large research infrastructure LINDAT/CLARIAH-CZ since 2019. LINDAT/CLARIAH-CZ resources form a distributed national node of the pan-European DARIAH-EU network for digital humanities.</p>	

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
<p>The societal relevance of the Centre’s outputs manifests itself in many ways. It is especially strong in the above-mentioned project on the “History and Interpretation of the Bible”, because various Czech biblical translations have long been unknown to the majority of the Czech society and the Church communities as well. The revised edition of the dictionary <i>Latinitatis medii aevi lexicon Bohemorum</i> is accessible via the <i>Database of Latin Dictionaries</i> and thus put into the context of Medieval Latin across Europe in the prestigious Brepols database and accessible to scholars worldwide. Several freely accessible databases have been prepared and supplemented as well as included in the large research infrastructure LINDAT/CLARIAH-CZ. They contain – among other data sets – large image collections and digital photographs by the Department of Neo-Latin Studies which serve the broader scientific and public interest as well.</p> <p>The research topics of the Centre were also promoted during the so-called <i>Week of Science and Technology</i> several times. The strategy required by the Czech Academy of Sciences was fulfilled to a great extent. The international conference <i>From Antiquity to Modernity: Performing Greek and Roman Drama</i>, organised by the Department of Classics and Classical Reception, was supported by the Strategy AV21 (see H2.4).</p>	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practise, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team’s activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
<p>Despite of the allegedly diminished societal relevance of Classical Studies, the knowledge transfer of the Centre’s members is not limited just to a small circle of scientific scholars. The Centre annually organises Summer Schools of Classics, which offer specialised lectures accompanied by the reading of ancient sources in Latin and Greek, film</p>	

<p>screenings, music workshops for a broader audience and school teachers of classical languages. Members of the Centre lecture annually at the Latin Day, which is organised by the Institute of Greek and Latin Studies of Charles University. Moreover, members of the Centre often take part in other outreach activities including media appearances such as on Czech and foreign radio and television programmes.</p>	
H2.3	Relation to practice
<p>The Centre organises regularly <i>Open-Door Days</i> which target especially high-school students and the general public. The outputs are widely popularised by the members of the Centre in television programmes on Czech Television and in Czech radio broadcasts.</p>	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
<p>The Centre for Classical Studies has been involved mainly in three of its programmes, with several events and projects carried out each year:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Research programme <i>Europe and the State: Between Barbarism and Civilisation</i> 2) Research programme <i>Memory in the Digital Age</i> 3) Research programme <i>Forms and Functions of Communication</i> 	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
<p>In the evaluation report, cooperation with regions is only mentioned in passing, even if it appears to be given. This kind of activity focuses mainly on the collaboration with regional universities such as Palacký University in Olomouc or the University of Hradec Králové.</p>	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
<p>The Centre for Classical Studies is a leading scientific institution in its field, not only in the Czech Republic, but also in Central Europe. The scientific achievements of the departments are excellent in national as well as international comparison.</p>	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
<p>During the evaluation period the Centre for Classical Studies cooperated in many ways and both in a national and international context with related institutes and university departments (e.g. at the universities of Athens, Oxford, Utrecht, Coimbra, Berlin, Ghent, Istanbul, etc. and at Sorbonne University in Paris). All that and the following facts demonstrate the international ambitions and embedding of the Centre. The Centre has its own delegates at several international research associations such as the <i>Union Académique Internationale</i> in Brussels, the <i>Internationale Thesaurus-Kommission</i>, the <i>Thesaurus Linguae Latinae</i> in Munich, the <i>Deutsche Comenius-Gesellschaft</i> in Berlin, the <i>Société Internationale pour l'étude du théâtre médiéval</i>, etc. The international double-blind peer-reviewed journals published by the Centre expanded its editorial boards considerably to include some of the most prominent classicists from all over the world. The scholarly journal published by the Centre, <i>Listy filologické – Folia philologica</i>, was included in JSTOR.</p>	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
<p>During the evaluation period, many researchers from various departments of the Centre were invited to deliver lectures or papers at international conferences abroad, or to</p>	

contribute chapters to prestigious handbooks and encyclopaedias published by Brill, Herder, Wiley-Blackwell, etc. (see sections H1.3 & H1.4 above).

The Department of Classics and Classical Reception organised conferences and workshops, including the Summer Course of Ancient Greek Drama in Epidaurus. Research activities included the maintenance of several databases of ancient drama productions in various regions of Europe (United Kingdom, France, Greece, Czech Republic). The department organised an international conference entitled *From Antiquity to Modernity: Performing Greek and Roman Drama*, which was attended by many eminent scholars.

The Department of Biblical Studies successfully intensified its international contacts by means of the conference *The Transmission and Transformation of Ideas in Hellenism, Early Judaism, and Early Christianity*.

The *Dictionary of Medieval Latin* published by the Department of Medieval Lexicography is one of the projects running under the aegis of the *Union Académique Internationale* (Bruxelles).

The Department of Neo-Latin Studies cooperates with similar departments of the Slovak Academy of Sciences and the University of Vienna.

Several members of the centre were invited for lectures at highly esteemed universities (Geneva, Oxford, Turin, Vienna, etc.). Some of them were awarded prestigious prizes by the Czech Academy of Sciences and the Academia Publishing House of The Czech Academy of Sciences.

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
	<p>The planned short- and mid-term research directions correspond to the possibilities of the Centre. In particular, its departments will continue to carry out research in their respective research areas, publish books and articles in Czech and English in national and international publishing houses and peer-reviewed journals. Similarly, the outreach activities organised by the centre will remain undiminished. Individual projects and publication outputs of all department members are correctly envisaged.</p> <p>The Department of Classics and Classical Reception will continue its long-term activities, among other things it will further develop the database <i>Classics and Czech Culture</i> with its focus on the reception of classical culture in the Czech Lands and with outcomes published in collective monographs. It will also intensify international collaboration with the <i>European Network of Research of Performances of Ancient Greek Drama</i>. By submitting an international project, it will also focus on spreading the knowledge about the reception of Greek and Roman Theatre.</p> <p>The Department of Biblical Studies will continue its research into the relations between Hellenism, early Judaism and early Christianity within a CSF grant project. A collective monograph on the topic will be published in a foreign publishing house. The elaboration of the electronic database <i>Encyclopaedia of Hellenism, Posthellenism and Early Christianity</i>, will continue, and a pilot version of the encyclopaedia will also be published as an e-book, eventually.</p> <p>The Department of Medieval Lexicography will continue to publish the <i>Dictionary of Medieval Latin in Czech Lands</i>. Improvements will be made in the dictionary's database, and a searchable corpus of Medieval Latin texts of Czech origin is being compiled. Individual projects and publication outputs envisaged include: a monograph on meteorology and weather forecasting in the medieval Czech lands and a monograph on stage directions in Medieval Latin religious dramas.</p>

<p>The Department of Neo-Latin Studies – in cooperation with many other partners – will start a new team project to prepare an extensive <i>Anthology of Neo-Latin Texts</i>. The team will complete the further volume of Cerroni’s work <i>Scriptores regni Bohemiae</i>. Team members will continue to work on their individual grant projects focusing on Latin humanistic literature. A monograph on pre-White Mountain intellectuals will be prepared as well as the collective monograph <i>Europa Humanistica: Bohemia et Moravia</i>.</p>	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
<p>Since the last evaluation, the names of two departments were changed (Department of Classics and Classical Reception; Department of Neo-Latin Studies) and at the same time, several changes in the personnel structure throughout the Centre have taken place as well. In consequence, slight modifications of the research plan occurred. However, these minor changes were related predominantly to individual projects (the exception being a collaborative work on J. P. Cerroni’s works). The general research plan of the respective departments for 2015–2019 was fulfilled. The previous research objectives were completed by the end of the evaluated research period. The departments’ scientific achievements are outstanding outputs and were highly valued during Phase I of the recent evaluation process.</p>	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
<p>The past evaluation’s first recommendation was to continue the internationally renowned long-term projects, deepen the cooperation with Charles University and other institutions on the national level and in the international context as well. This recommendation was fully considered and implemented. The <i>Dictionary of Medieval Latin in Czech Lands</i> reached yet another level. Further advancement in this area has already taken place by the inclusion of the electronic <i>Dictionary</i> as well as the databases maintained within the project <i>Classical Tradition and Czech Culture</i> in the large research infrastructure LINDAT/CLARIAH-CZ, a distributed national node of the pan-European DARIAH-EU network for digital humanities. The cooperation with Charles University remained undiminished, which is evidenced by collaborative grant research activities. The Centre has strengthened its cooperation within the <i>Network of Research of Performances and Documentation of Ancient Greek Drama</i>.</p> <p>The second area of recommendations concerned attempts to obtain and access EU funds and other support from EU programmes. Success in obtaining support from foreign grants or EU research programmes is partly achieved. The Department of Medieval Lexicography participated successfully in an international COST project, and the Department of Classics and Classical Reception participated in the preparation of the project <i>Interconnecting Myths and Performing Cultures in Europe</i>. Both departments were able to secure funding for further development of their electronic databases within the EU funded research infrastructure (see above).</p> <p>The last recommendation of the previous evaluation was to bring the Centre’s outputs and research to the attention of a wider international audience. This suggestion was fully implemented by the publication of articles in prestigious international journals and monographs in internationally renowned publishing houses. To support this endeavour, resources from the institutional budget have been allocated to cover proofreading of papers to be published in foreign journals, and projects have been carried out in this respect (especially within the Strategy AV21). The mentioned efforts bore fruit in a large amount of publication outputs abroad, e. g. in the <i>Wiley-Blackwell Handbooks to Classical Reception</i>, <i>Brill’s Companion to the Reception of Socrates</i>, the <i>Handbuch der Dogmengeschichte</i>, monographs and book chapters published by De Gruyter, Cambridge University Press and many articles in prestigious journals.</p>	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants

<p>The Centre has obtained a great number of projects from grant sources. All departments proved successful in applying, they have been able to raise funds especially from the Czech Science Foundation (during the evaluation period, 2 grants were actively investigated and another 3 were won in 2018), at least partially outweighing the lack of substantial EU funding.</p> <p>The Department of Medieval Lexicography participated successfully in an international COST project, and the Department of Classics and Classical Reception participated in preparation of the project <i>Interconnecting Myths and Performing Cultures in Europe</i>.</p> <p>The Centre was also able to secure funding for further development of their electronic databases within the EU funded research infrastructure LINDAT-CLARIAH.CZ.</p> <p>The Centre has also been involved in three programmes of the Strategy AV21, with several events and projects carried out each year (see H2.4).</p> <p>The Centre will also profit from the HR project successfully submitted by the Institute of Philosophy and funded by the EU since 2020 as it will provide additional resources for international mobility of its researchers or for proofreading of papers written in world languages and published abroad.</p>	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
<p>The instrumental equipment is generally adequate. Only the IT background for maintaining and building new databases should be improved in line with the general technical progress. But this is a challenge for all institutes and centres across the Czech Academy of Sciences. The Academy management should consider appropriate synergies where possible.</p>	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
<p>The Centre is a well-functioning research unit conducted by an effective and capable management. The Centre is headed by a capable and versatile team leader (Petr Kitzler) who is at the same time the deputy director of the Institute of Philosophy, which ensures close contacts within the Institute. He is responsible for the overall research strategy of the Centre, its budget and its general HR strategy. He is aided by an experienced deputy head (Zuzana Silagiová). The scientific secretary of the Centre (Jakub Čechvala), who is also active in research, represents an important complement and support to successfully manage the administrative processes. This management team has successfully coped with the Centre's main tasks and projects and thus proved its worth.</p>	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
<p>The assessment of the professional structure leaves a good impression. The personnel are well-balanced – the core staff of the department covers the most important areas of research in the field. By the end of the evaluation period, 27 researchers (19.6 FTE), 4 librarians and 3 members of administrative and economic staff (1.6 FTE) were employed by the Centre.</p> <p>As for the age structure, the Centre mostly consists of middle-aged researchers with good up to excellent scientific rating, complemented by 2 early career junior researchers (age 25–30) and 6 semi-retired researchers (age 60–70) with part-time jobs only. Overall, the HR strategy of the Centre is focused both on stability (e. g. in prestigious long-term projects) and flexibility (e. g. by moving with the times and taking advantage of project opportunities such as the Strategy AV21 or the contribution to LINDAT-CLARIAH.CZ).</p> <p>The Centre fully supports the full-time research engagement of its researchers (nearly half of them have full-time jobs). Nevertheless, the Centre also offers part-time jobs to</p>	

<p>researchers (female and male alike) who take care of young children or other family members, or to semi-retired researchers who are supervising younger colleagues.</p> <p>The Centre is very active in looking for promising PhD students who are usually first offered collaboration on specific projects and then regular jobs. During the evaluation period, 7 PhD students were fully integrated into the research teams.</p>	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
<p>The Centre puts great emphasis on researchers in an early stage of their career. They get support from the Centre's budget, e.g. in the context of international research mobility and for the participation in international conferences and workshops, or motivates them to apply for various kinds of research programmes. Every five years, each and every researcher has to undergo a regular evaluation process where her or his scientific achievements and activities (especially publication outputs) are assessed. Likewise, every researcher is encouraged to apply for "attestation" whenever she or he fulfils the requirements necessary to be promoted to a higher qualification degree.</p> <p>11 out of 27 researchers are women (40.7%), the total ratio of male and female employees of the Centre (34) being 55,8% (19 male) to 44,2% (15 female).</p> <p>Although the salaries of researchers have risen during the evaluation period, the current conditions can neither attract the best international researchers, nor talented young professionals. The net amount of an average salary (approx. 800–900 EUR) for a researcher at the Centre can neither grant a fair work-life balance, nor the chance of growing a family without acquiring additional sources of income.</p>	
D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.
<p>Not applicable for the institutes and centres evaluated by Commission 11.</p> <p>Nevertheless, the Centre's departments are organically integrated into the national research scene. The achievements of the research groups are steadily increasing in quality, which will ensure the sustainability of the Centre.</p>	

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on national and international level
<p>Several researchers of the centre supervise theses and teach undergraduate and graduate courses thematically connected with their research topics and projects, especially at Charles University, at the Architectural Institute in Prague, at the University of Hradec Králové, but sporadically also abroad (e. g. Jan Bažant has been the guarantor of the Master's programme in Classics at Trnava University).</p> <p>The pedagogical activity of the Centre has been intense (the team gave almost 50 lectures, seminars and courses for BA and MA students). However, it has been concentrated mainly on 2–3 institutions of domestic higher education.</p>	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
<p>The Centre for Biblical Studies is a joint research centre of the Protestant Theological Faculty at Charles University in Prague and the Centre for Classical Studies at the Institute of Philosophy. It unites classical philologists, theologians, and historians.</p>	

<p>The grant <i>History and Interpretation of the Bible</i> was successfully terminated during the evaluation period. Many outcomes were published both in domestic and international venues.</p> <p>In 2019, another large team research grant funded by the CSF was obtained: <i>The Transmission and Transformation of Ideas in Hellenism, Early Judaism, and Early Christianity</i> (PI Jiří Hoblík) is scheduled for 2019–2021.</p>	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
<p>At the moment, 2 doctoral students are being supervised. However, their dissertations are still pending.</p> <p>Moreover 7 PhD students successfully finished their PhD studies during the evaluation period, and they currently continue their research at the Centre (see D3.4).</p>	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
<p>7 PhD students took part in research and publication outputs of all four departments of the Centre. They published monographs, several book chapters, journal articles and reviews during the evaluation period.</p>	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
<p>The pedagogical activity of the Centre's members in MA or BA studies was outstanding during the evaluation period. The team gave nearly 50 lectures, seminars and courses at those levels. Nevertheless, the pedagogical activity of the Centre's members was concentrated mainly on 2–3 institutions of domestic higher education.</p>	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
<p>The frequency of cooperation with universities in the form of teaching has been high during the evaluation period. Several courses, lectures and seminars were given by the members of the Centre.</p>	

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
<p>The Centre annually organises the <i>Summer School of Classics</i>, which offers specialised lectures on classical antiquity and its reception. The Summer School helps teachers and students to improve their qualification. Furthermore, students can attend it as an optional course in the context of their university curriculum.</p> <p>The Centre annually contributes to the <i>Week of Science and Technology</i> of the Czech Academy of Sciences with an <i>Open-Door Day</i> programme. 3–4 lectures target especially high-school students and the general public. The programme consists of popular science lectures by the staff of the Centre, often accompanied by other engaging programme features.</p> <p>Members of the Centre lecture annually at the <i>Latin Day</i>, which is organised by the Institute of Greek and Latin Studies at Charles University. Moreover, they often take actively part in other outreach activities including media appearances, e. g. on Czech Radio and Czech Television. Jan Bažant and Alena Sarkissian were even awarded prizes for the active promotion of the Czech Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Philosophy respectively, which bears witness to the long-term activities of the Centre's members in this area.</p>	

D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
<p>Members of the Centre have been regularly invited to speak in Czech media, which amounted in two dozen of television broadcasts and radio programmes such as Early Christian Women Literature, a public lecture at the premiere of the new production of <i>Iphigenia at Aulis</i> in Vinohrady theatre, and several interviews in newspapers and magazines. They are consistently of high quality and a credit to the Czech Academy of Sciences in public.</p>	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
<p>Several researchers of the Centre are members of international associations such as the <i>Union Académique Internationale</i> (Brussels), the <i>Internationale Thesaurus-Kommission</i> (München), the <i>Deutsche Comenius-Gesellschaft</i>, the <i>Société internationale pour l'étude du théâtre médiéval</i>, etc. They are editors and members of editorial boards in a number of prestigious Czech scientific journals such as <i>Eirene – Studia Graeca et Latina</i> and <i>Listy filologické – Folia philologica</i>, but also publishing houses such as OIKOYMENH and Filosofia.</p>	

Other comments of the commission:

Although the salaries have risen during the evaluation period, the current conditions are not conducive to attracting the best international researchers, resp. talented young students. Without doubt, the team's internationally competitive performance would deserve higher wages.

12. Centre for Medieval Studies

Strengths:

The Centre for Medieval Studies, a joint workplace between the Institute of Philosophy and Charles University, has been a renowned study centre since 1998, including its contribution in the training of PhD students. The team's publications are of excellent quality and often published abroad in highly prestigious venues. The results in obtaining grants (among others, two projects of excellence, and participation in an ERC and a COST Action) and its established international relations are also excellent. The area dedicated to digital humanities is also undergoing great development, with an award received from the Technology Agency in 2020.

Weaknesses:

The institutional funds available still seem insufficient and in the long-term could ultimately penalize an institution with such a great tradition.

Opportunities:

The Centre for Medieval Studies should continue along the path undertaken both as regards research activities and internationalization, trying to open up more to relations with similar Czech and foreign institutions. Furthermore, it has acquired an important position in the building of digital research infrastructure, but the space for development in this direction is still wide.

Threats:

The Centre should continue with the policies pursued, both with regard to publishing policy and relations with foreign institutions, and in particular with regard to the fellowship system, which has guaranteed the institution an integrative role within medieval studies (139 fellows in 2019).

Main criterion: 1. Quality of results (H1.1-H1.5)

H1.1	Quality of selected outputs of Phase I
The quality of the team's results is undoubted, both nationally and internationally, as demonstrated by the placement of publications in high-level international venues and the average of the evaluations received during phase 1 (9 inputs received grade 1, 14 grade 2 of a total of 29 – the highest number among all the history teams).	
H1.2	Contribution of workers on the outputs reached
The workers contributed highly to the output reached.	
H1.3	Quality of all outputs and results
The number and quality of the outputs of the team members is truly impressive: among other things, 39 professional books, 142 chapters in professional books, and 46 articles in journals.	
H1.4	The most valuable discoveries and findings in the fields, their importance for the field

In particular, the studies on cultural perception, value systems and social practices related to the project, "Cultural Codes and Their Transformations during the Hussite Period," have led to particularly important scientific results.	
H1.5	Contribution of the participation of the authors in large collaborations
Not applicable.	

Main criterion: 2. Societal relevance (H2.1-H2.5)

H2.1	Societal relevance of outputs and results pursuant to CAS and institute mission
In addition to the irreplaceable role of the centre in the education of PhD students (the organization of highly successful summer schools is one of the strengths of the team), the research areas of the centre are very broad, with main focuses on the age of the Luxemburg kings, Jan Hus and the Bohemian Reform, issues on which team members have repeatedly influenced public opinion on prominent issues for Czech history.	
H2.2	System functionality for knowledge transfer into practise, its usefulness for society. The impact of the team's activity on proper practice in society in the area of social sciences and humanities
The impact of the team's activity on public knowledge and proper practice in society is particularly good.	
H2.3	Relation to practice
The public presence of the team's researchers was excellent, also helped by the succession of many important historical anniversaries (for Jan Hus, Charles IV and the Hussite Revolution).	
H2.4	Participation in AV21 strategy
The Department is actively involved in Strategy AV21 (3 programmes).	
H2.5	Cooperation with regions of the Czech Republic
The collaboration with other national institutions and teams is good, but the Centre for Medieval Studies, like other evaluated departments, could certainly have more intense relationships with other institutes in the Academy of Sciences (in particular the Institute of History and the Masaryk Institute) and develop new synergies.	

Further criterion: 1. Position in international and national context (D1.1-D1.3)

D1.1	Comparison of the team with similar international and national institutes
In comparison with similar institutes, the centre has an enviable position both nationally and internationally. The foreign institutions with which it collaborates are of absolutely high prestige.	
D1.2	Scope and quality of international and national cooperation and the role of the team in such cooperation; engagement in broad international cooperation
The network of international relationships that the institute has been able to build over the years is excellent, both as regards research projects and the exchange of researchers. As	

one of the few institutions of medieval studies in the historical field, it has had the ability to attract foreign PhD students and young researchers as well.	
D1.3	Participation of the workers in scientific community activities (organizing of conferences and workshops, invited lectures, awards)
The team organized several international meetings and congresses with international participants. Many of its members have been repeatedly invited to lecture at prestigious venues.	

Further criterion: 2. Vitality, sustainability and strategy (D2.1-D2.9)

D2.1	Direction in line with the perspective of the planned research directions
The age structure of the centre is very well balanced. Impressive is the number of 10 researchers younger than 40 out of the 28, a situation not typical and perhaps unique in the context of the historical institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences during the period covered by this evaluation.	
D2.2	Assessment of the previous research objectives and their achievement
Most of the research objectives have been achieved, and the scholarly results are certainly excellent.	
D2.3	Assessment of implementation of recommendations from past evaluation
The recommendations of the previous evaluation were not particularly numerous but were followed, in particular with regard to the internationalization of publications. However, it was only possible to solve partially the problem of financial instability, even if a temporary solution seems to have been found through the agreement with Charles University regarding the expenses related to the doctoral program. However, since any further engagement in teaching could in the long run lead to a weakening of scholarly production, the problem should be solved differently.	
D2.4	Success in receiving grants
The team is remarkably successful in obtaining grants, but the imbalance between institutional funds and temporary grants does not seem appropriate (40/60%).	
D2.5	Adequacy of instrumental equipment
The equipment is adequate.	
D2.6	Effectiveness of management
The management seems effective for the team.	
D2.7	Assessment of professional structure, development strategy and the strategy of keeping best scientists, age structure, career and qualification growth
The centre seems to have a clear and effective strategy, which could however be held back by the general financial situation, which is too heavily dependent on temporary grants. One of the likely effects has been the substantial drop in researchers in the team (from 10.70 FTE researchers in 2017 to 8.75 FTE researchers in 2019, as reported in the "Personal structure of team").	
D2.8	Creating work-life balance conditions, assessment of approach towards possible gender issues
Even if the starting situation is not so bad, among the objectives of the centre is the desire to reach a more equal gender balance.	
D2.9	Relation of the team with regard to the integration, development and sustainability of the research centre funded by the National Programme of Sustainability II.

Not relevant.

Further criterion: 3. Cooperation with universities and participation in education (D3.1-D3.6)

D3.1	Scope of cooperation with universities on national and international level
The level of cooperation with various faculties of Charles University is definitely above the norm and is essential for the training of young medievalists.	
D3.2	Effectiveness of joint research centres
The Centre for Medieval Studies was born as a joint workplace with the Faculty of Arts of Charles University, so this is one of the team's greatest strengths.	
D3.3	Success rate in supervision of PhD students
The success rate in supervision of PhD students is high (4).	
D3.4	Participation of PhD students in the outputs
The involvement of the PhD students was excellent (9 of them worked in the team in the period subject to evaluation), and they were authors of as many as 21 publications.	
D3.5	Participation of the team in master or bachelor studies
The participation could be increased further.	
D3.6	Assessment of cooperation intensity with universities in the form of teaching
The level of cooperation with universities in teaching is very high, and this also includes teaching international students in Prague programmes.	

Further criterion: 4. Outreach activities (D4.1-D4.3)

D4.1	Sufficiency of media strategy and activities in the area of research popularisation
The media strategy of the centre appears to be well structured, both as regards exhibitions and the popularization of the historiographical research of the team members (of particular importance are the appearances on television).	
D4.2	Publishing activities and its quality
The editorial activity of the team is excellent, and in many fields it is the leading institution in the national field.	
D4.3	Participation in professional organisations in the area of research and development
The team participates in professional organisations.	

Other comments of the commission:

1. The team should seek greater collaboration with other similar institutions within the Czech context.
2. Even if it is a more general problem, it deserves to be mentioned above all here that the Centre sponsors much activity for doctoral students from various universities but is heavily penalized by the impossibility of training its own doctoral students.

Final report was elaborated by:

Commission 10 - History and archaeology

Evaluated teams No.: 5, 12

Commission Chair: Prof. Gary B. Cohen

Commission Deputy Chair: Pavel Vařeka

Commission Members:

Peter Bugge
Alessandro Catalano
Maciej Gorny
Anthony Harding
Barbara Horejs
Dušan Kováč
Hana Píchová
Alain Soubigou

Commission 11 - Languages and literature

Evaluated teams No.: 11

Commission Chair: Univ.-Prof. Mag. Dr. Stefan Michael Newerkla

Commission Deputy Chair: Iva Málková

Commission Members:

Joanna Goszczyńska
Miranda Jakiša
Róbert Kiss-Szemán
Johannes Reinhart
Daniela Slančová
Luka Szucsich
Harri Veivo

Commission 12 - Humanities and the arts

Evaluated teams No.: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10

Commission Chair: Prof. Dr. Alessandro Ferrara

Commission Deputy Chair: Jakub Mácha

Commission Members:

Carla Canullo
Arkadiusz Chrudzimski
Martina Frank
Michael Friedrich
Akos Moravanszky
Christoph K. Neumann
Renate Prochno-Schinkel
Hans Rott
Peter Schweitzer
Markus Tauschek
Urszula Zeglen
Hans van Ess